


# Changes Proposed to Gila County Supervisor Districts by Mac Feezor, Plan klf08



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF08**

<b><u>Number:</u></b>	<b><u>District 1</u></b>	<b><u>District 2</u></b>	<b><u>District 3</u></b>	<b><u>Total, All Districts</u></b>
Hispanic, of any race	1,405	6,847	1,336	<b>9,588</b>
Non-Hispanic White	15,956	9,977	9,365	<b>35,298</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	81	123	44	<b>248</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	316	711	6,948	<b>7,975</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	102	143	76	<b>321</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	24	10	5	<b>39</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	25	30	11	<b>66</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	18	22	22	<b>62</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>17,927</b>	<b>17,863</b>	<b>17,807</b>	<b>53,597</b>
<b><u>Percent:</u></b>				
Hispanic, of any race	7.84%	38.33%	7.50%	<b>17.89%</b>
Non-Hispanic White	89.01%	55.85%	52.59%	<b>65.86%</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	0.45%	0.69%	0.25%	<b>0.46%</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.76%	3.98%	39.02%	<b>14.88%</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.57%	0.80%	0.43%	<b>0.60%</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.13%	0.06%	0.03%	<b>0.07%</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	0.14%	0.17%	0.06%	<b>0.12%</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.10%	0.12%	0.12%	<b>0.12%</b>
<b>Total Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	<b>Total deviation: 120 0.67%</b>
Total Population	17,927	17,863	17,807	
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	61	-3	-59	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	0.34%	-0.01%	-0.33%	

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF08**

<b><u>Voting-Age Number:</u></b>	<b><u>District 1</u></b>	<b><u>District 2</u></b>	<b><u>District 3</u></b>	<b><u>Total, All Districts</u></b>
Hispanic, of any race	897	4,788	834	<b>6,519</b>
Non-Hispanic White	13,842	8,174	7,906	<b>29,922</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	52	95	24	<b>171</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	241	477	4,427	<b>5,145</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	79	112	61	<b>252</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	19	7	4	<b>30</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	13	22	9	<b>44</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	14	14	15	<b>43</b>
<b><i>Voting-Age Population</i></b>	<b>15,157</b>	<b>13,689</b>	<b>13,280</b>	<b>42,126</b>
<b><u>Voting-Age Percent:</u></b>				
Hispanic, of any race	5.92%	34.98%	6.28%	<b>15.48%</b>
Non-Hispanic White	91.32%	59.71%	59.53%	<b>71.03%</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	0.34%	0.69%	0.18%	<b>0.41%</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.59%	3.48%	33.34%	<b>12.21%</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.52%	0.82%	0.46%	<b>0.60%</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.13%	0.05%	0.03%	<b>0.07%</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	0.09%	0.16%	0.07%	<b>0.10%</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.09%	0.10%	0.11%	<b>0.10%</b>
<b><i>Voting-Age Percent</i></b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

## Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Supervisorial Districts

**Plan submitted by: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF08**

Persons

20000

18000

16000

14000

12000

10000

8000


6000

4000

2000

0

"Ideal" District Size = 17,866



Source: Census 2010  
Redistricting Data (Public  
Law 94-171) Summary File,  
Arizona

**Current**

**Proposed**

**Current**

**Proposed**

**Current**

**Proposed**

**DISTRICT 1**

**DISTRICT 2**

**DISTRICT 3**

**DISTRICT 1**

**DISTRICT 2**

**DISTRICT 3**

**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF08**

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed Plan</b>	<b>Change</b>
Population, District 1	18,105	17,927	-178
Population, District 2	17,151	17,863	712
Population, District 3	18,341	17,807	-534
Population deviation, District 1	239	61	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	-3	
Population deviation, District 3	475	-59	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	0.34%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	-0.01%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	-0.33%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	120	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	0.67%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	5.92%	-0.31%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	34.98%	10.10%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	6.28%	-10.17%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.59%	-0.16%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.48%	-0.15%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	33.34%	0.57%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.34%	0.03%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.69%	0.23%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.18%	-0.28%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	8.68%	-0.45%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	40.29%	10.13%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	40.47%	-9.81%

Consultant's Review Comments:

This proposed plan does a good job of reducing the population deviation to only about two-thirds of a percent.

The voting-age minority proportion in District 2 increases by over ten percentage points, but that increase is at the expense of the minority proportion in District 3, which falls by almost as many points -- a change that will be viewed as retrogressive under the Voting Rights Act.