

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF04

				Total, All
Number:	District 1	District 2	District 3	Districts
Hispanic, of any race	1,287	6,915	1,386	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	15,966	9,800	9,532	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	87	123	38	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	332	700	6,943	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	95	141	85	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	21	10	8	39
Non-Hispanic other race	21	29	16	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	22	27	62
Total Population	17,822	17,740	18,035	53,597
Percent:				
Hispanic, of any race	7.22%	38.98%	7.69%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	89.59%	55.24%	52.85%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.49%	0.69%	0.21%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.86%	3.95%	38.50%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.53%	0.79%	0.47%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.12%	0.16%	0.09%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.12%	0.15%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
			_	
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	Total
Total Population	17,822	17,740	18,035 (deviation:
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	-44	-126	169	295
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	-0.24%	-0.70%	0.95%	1.65%


Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF04

Voting-Age Number: Hispanic, of any race Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic American Indian Non-Hispanic Asian Non-Hispanic Hawaiian Non-Hispanic other race Non-Hispanic two or more races Voting-Age Population	<u>District 1</u> 830 13,849 54 249 74 16 10 11 11 15,093	<u>District 2</u> 4,827 7,994 95 474 110 7 21 14 14 13,542	District 3 862 8,079 22 4,422 68 7 13 18 18 13,491	Total, All <u>Districts</u> 6,519 29,922 171 5,145 252 30 44 43 42,126
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u> Hispanic, of any race Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic American Indian Non-Hispanic Asian Non-Hispanic Hawaiian Non-Hispanic other race Non-Hispanic two or more races <i>Voting-Age Percent</i>	5.50% 91.76% 0.36% 1.65% 0.49% 0.11% 0.07% 0.07% 0.07% 100.00%	35.64% 59.03% 0.70% 3.50% 0.81% 0.05% 0.16% 0.10% 100.00%	6.39% 59.88% 0.16% 32.78% 0.50% 0.05% 0.10% 0.13% 100.00%	15.48% 71.03% 0.41% 12.21% 0.60% 0.07% 0.10% 0.10% 100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580



GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS PLAN SUBMITTED BY: MAC FEEZOR PLAN KLF04

		Proposed	
	Current	Plan	Change
Population, District 1	18,105	17,822	-283
Population, District 2	17,151	17,740	589
Population, District 3	18,341	18,035	-306
Population deviation, District 1	239	-44	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	-126	
Population deviation, District 3	475	169	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	-0.24%	
Percent deviation, District 1	-4.00%	-0.24 %	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	0.95%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	295	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	1.65%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	5.50%	-0.73%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	35.64%	10.76%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	6.39%	-10.06%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.65%	-0.10%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.50%	-0.13%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	32.78%	0.01%
reicent V-A Non-hispanic Native American, District 5	52.1170	52.7070	0.0170
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.36%	0.05%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.70%	0.24%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.16%	-0.30%
	a (a)(
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	8.24%	-0.89%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	40.97%	10.81%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	40.12%	-10.16%

Consultant's Review Comments:

This proposed plan does a good job of reducing the population deviation to one-quarter of its current value.

The voting-age minority proportion in District 2 increases by over ten percentage points, but that increase is at the expense of the minority proportion in District 3, which falls by almost the same amount -- a change that will be viewed as retrogressive under the Voting Rights Acf.