

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Bob Dalbyre, PLAN SELECT

				Total, All
Number:	District 1	District 2	District 3	Districts
Hispanic, of any race	1,463	5,367	2,758	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	15,799	11,297	8,202	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	83	92	73	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	356	641	6,978	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	125	82	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	11	6	39
Non-Hispanic other race	20	27	19	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	25	24	62
Total Population	17,870	17,585	18,142	53,597
Percent:				
Hispanic, of any race	8.19%	30.52%	15.20%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.41%	64.24%	45.21%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.46%	0.52%	0.40%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.99%	3.65%	38.46%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.64%	0.71%	0.45%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.03%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.15%	0.10%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.14%	0.13%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	Total
Total Population	17,870	17,585		deviation:
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	4	-281	276	557
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	0.02%	-1.57%	1.55%	3.12%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona


Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Bob Dalbyre, PLAN SELECT

				Total, All
Voting-Age Number:	District 1	District 2	District 3	Districts
Hispanic, of any race	943	3,695	1,881	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	13,601	9,453	6,868	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	46	69	56	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	265	445	4,435	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	96	66	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	8	5	30
Non-Hispanic other race	9	18	17	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	18	14	43
Voting-Age Population	14,982	13,802	13,342	42,126
Voting-Age Percent:				
Hispanic, of any race	6.29%	26.77%	14.10%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.78%	68.49%	51.48%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.50%	0.42%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.77%	3.22%	33.24%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.60%	0.70%	0.49%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.13%	0.13%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.13%	0.10%	0.10%
Voting-Age Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580



GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Bob Dalbyre, PLAN SELECT

		Proposed	
	Current	Plan	Change
Population, District 1	18,105	17,870	-235
Population, District 2	17,151	17,585	434
Population, District 3	18,341	18,142	-199
Population deviation, District 1	239	4	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	-281	
Population deviation, District 3	475	276	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	0.02%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	-1.57%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	1.55%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	557	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	3.12%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.29%	0.06%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	26.77%	1.89%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	14.10%	-2.35%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.77%	0.02%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.22%	-0.41%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	33.24%	0.47%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.50%	0.04%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.42%	-0.04%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.22%	0.09%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	31.51%	1.35%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	48.52%	-1.76%

Consultant's Review Comments:

This plan cuts the total population deviation in half, compared to the current districts.

The slight increase in the voting-age minority proportion in District 2 is a numerical improvement, but the slight loss in District 3 could be deemed retrogressive unless a racially-polarized-voting analysis shows that District 3 minorities would still be able to elect candidates of their choice at that new proportion.