

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: azbandit, Plan blk01

		,	• •	T - (- 1 A II
Number:	District 1	District 2	District 3	Total, All <u>Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	1,463	3,945	4,180	<u>9,588</u>
Non-Hispanic White	15,799	13,033	6,466	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	83	70	95	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	356	682	6,937	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	145	62	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	10	7	39
Non-Hispanic other race	20	33	13	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	30	19	62
Total Population	17,870	17,948	17,779	53,597
-				
Percent:				
Hispanic, of any race	8.19%	21.98%	23.51%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.41%	72.62%	36.37%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.46%	0.39%	0.53%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.99%	3.80%	39.02%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.64%	0.81%	0.35%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.18%	0.07%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.17%	0.11%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
			_	
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	Total
Total Population	17,870	17,948	17,779 d	leviation:
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	4	82	-87	169
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	0.02%	0.46%	-0.49%	<i>0.</i> 9 5%


Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN PLAN SUBMITTED BY: azbandit, Plan blk01

	-,	•	
			Total, All
District 1	District 2	District 3	Districts
943	2,653	2,923	6,519
13,601	11,063	5,258	29,922
46	54	71	171
265	483	4,397	5,145
90	115	47	252
17	8	5	30
9	26	9	44
11	19	13	43
14,982	14,421	12,723	42,126
0.000/	40,400/	00.070/	45 400/
			15.48%
90.78%	76.71%	41.33%	71.03%
0.31%	0.37%	0.56%	0.41%
1.77%	3.35%	34.56%	12.21%
0.60%	0.80%	0.37%	0.60%
0.11%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
0.06%	0.18%	0.07%	0.10%
0.07%	0.13%	0.10%	0.10%
100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	943 13,601 46 265 90 17 9 11 14,982 6.29% 90.78% 0.31% 1.77% 0.60% 0.11% 0.06% 0.07%	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 943 & 2,653 \\ 13,601 & 11,063 \\ 46 & 54 \\ 265 & 483 \\ 90 & 115 \\ 17 & 8 \\ 9 & 26 \\ 11 & 19 \\ 14,982 & 14,421 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580



GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS PLAN SUBMITTED BY: azbandit, Plan blk01

FLAN SUDIVITTED DT. azbatiul, Flati bikut			
		Proposed	
	Current	Plan	Change
Population, District 1	18,105	17,870	-235
Population, District 2	17,151	17,948	797
Population, District 3	18,341	17,779	-562
Population deviation, District 1	239	4	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	82	
Population deviation, District 3	475	-87	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	0.02%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	0.46%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	-0.49%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	169	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	0.95%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.29%	0.06%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	18.40%	-6.48%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	22.97%	6.52%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.77%	0.02%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.35%	-0.28%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	34.56%	1.79%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.46%	0.37%	-0.09%
•			
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.56%	0.10%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.22%	0.09%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	23.29%	-6.87%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	58.67%	8.39%

Consultant's Review Comments:

This plan makes a significant reduction in the total population deviation, achieving a deviation of less than one percent.

We note that District 2 is split into two noncontiguous pieces. Until that situation is rectified, there is no point in assessing the effect of the plan on minority populations under the Voting Rights Act.