

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Jones	Miriam	8154 E Winchester Dr, Globe, AZ 85505	928.425.4532	miriamsjones@hotmail.com	Asked what it means when we say it will affect a certain amount of voters. Does it mean I won't be able to vote for my supervisor that I voted for last time ? Explained that could be the case.
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Pearce	Linda	P. O. Box 52, Claypool, AZ 85532	928.425.5333		Plan C has lowest deviation and least amount of change, but the Tonto Apache are not placed in District 3. Thinks Plan B is the worst because Claypool, Central Heights and Globe 8 are put in District 3. Seems like these changes don't belong. Seems like people who live on East side of Globe which borders on District 3 should be included in District 3. Thinks Plan A is the worst. Plan A has the highest deviation and she does not see why DOJ would accept that one over others that have less deviation. Pointed out District 1 still has the highest number of people and District 3 has fewer than it did before whereas District 2 had the fewest before. Plan C is the one that meets all the requirements if you could just get the Tonto Apaches in where they want to be.
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Shipley	Fernando	617 Andrea Circle, Miami, AZ 85539	928.425.7656	fernando.shipley.lj4g@stat efarm.com	Prefers Plan C. Least amount of change and least deviation. Feels it would keep us in line with current representation. "Plan C best meets the needs of Gila County."
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Humphrey	Tim		928.425.8120 928.701.1455		Likes Plan C. Change is to give District 2 more vote. Plan C has the least amount of change and would be less work for the County in moving voters from one district to another.
09/08/11	T	Shipley	Fernando	617 Andrea Circle, Miami, AZ 85539	928.425.7656	fernando.shipley.lj4g@stat efarm.com	Concerned about the Tonto Apache map. Feels that Latinos will lose their voice in District 3 and that the removal of so many Latino residents would harm their ability to elect candidates of choice in District 3.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/07/11 Tonto Basin Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcable.com	Supports Draft Plan D and prefers college draft plan #1. Believes it strengthens the Latino vote in District 2 and the Native American vote in District 3 because it moves all the Native Americans into District 3 and all the Latinos into District 2. Believes the Latinos in Hayden, Winkelman, Christmas and Miami have more in common with the rest of Globe. Also believes the mining areas should be joined in Hayden, Winkelman and Globe, Miami. Believes they "have way more in common with those people than they do with the Native Americans. Referred to Gisela and the Tonto Apache stating they say it is part of their original homeland and there are a lot of Native Americans still there, so they should be joined because they have way more in common with the White Mountain and San Carlos Apaches in District 3. Native Americans and Latinos have different languages and cultures and should be identified as distinct minorities rather than being lumped together for numbers sake. Native Americans would not be split into two districts. Latinos would not be split into two districts. Should strengthen voting ability of both minorities. Improper to lump them together unless proven they consistently vote together. Also, District 2 and 3 would then become more competitive. Does not believe Plan A was tweaked right. Believes College Plan #3 is crazy and chopped up. College Plan #1 is way more contiguous and compact like the law requires.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/07/11 Tonto Basin Meeting	P	Rodriguez	Laido	843 S Highland Dr Globe, AZ 85541	928.473.3172		Concerned about Shirley Dye's presentation on plans. Feels speaker was confused or misled as to what the Committee looked at or how redistricting was looked at. Believes she wants to segregate various races into certain areas and that's not our job. Our job is to make sure that everybody has a vote to be able to vote the person that they want into office; not segregating one district into another district. We don't know if Hispanics are going to continue to live in this area. They can spread out throughout the County and start moving. As he heard Shirley Dye's speech he said it sounds like she's trying to segregate the whole County between the Apaches, Hispanics, and Anglos. Ms Dye responded that lumping them (the Hispanics and the Apaches) as one majority minority with their cultural differences and their language differences is counter to what the Civil Rights Act says because they are too distinct. Segregation never crossed her mind.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Ward	Curtis	110 N Mogollon Trail, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.3753		Wanted to know if the lawsuit filed by Attorney General Horn against the Justice Department is going to affect Gila County. Staff responded that the lawsuit will probably be years in resolution and if the law is changed, it will be at the end of that lawsuit. It will not affect anything that anyone in the State of Arizona is doing at the present time.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Morgan	Peter	P. O. Box 1947, Payson, AZ 85547	928.978.0617		<p>Wanted to know if all of this effort by elected officials and Gila County property tax payers from the north 1/2 of Gila County will finally bring forth another County supervisor, giving the north equal voting power. Seems to him that we need another supervisor representing the north so could have two in the north and two in the south. Staff explained State Statute requires either three or five supervisors and that there is currently no provision for a county the size of Gila County to go to five supervisors. Then wanted to know how any of these maps affect a more fair vote with three supervisors than we have seen in the past. Staff responded we think what you're asking is how does someone else become supervisor from the north, and that's totally dependent upon the citizens from the north and who runs for office. Then he wanted to know if we have one vote from the north and two votes from the south how do we make that fair. Staff responded that question couldn't be answered because there isn't an answer. People who run for office are to represent all the people in their district and in the county. If you have a particular issue you should talk to your board member. Further stated his issue is honesty and integrity and he doesn't see it.</p>

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dalby	Bob	1104 S Milk Ranch Point, Payson, AZ 85541	928.472.7979	bobdalbyre@msn.com	Wanted to know how the supervisors will decide which map to forward to DOJ and do public comments make difference. Does the Board currently have a preference. Asked if the supervisors could send more than one plan to DOJ. Wanted to know if comments will be submitted to DOJ. Elections staff responded Only one plan can be submitted and comments will be submitted to DOJ. There is no current preference as to which plan to adopt. As far as how they make their decision, cannot speak for any member of the Board in any way specifically. They will evaluate the mapping alternatives. They will evaluate ideas that come from the public. They will evaluate what the consultants say. They will evaluate what the numbers tell them since we do have to comply with the Voting Rights Act.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Harrison	Christine	612 N Grapevine Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1983	cwailand@npgcable.com	Believes Plan D gets a little closer to addressing some of the demographic shifts that have been going on in the County yet she understands there are issues with some of the statistical analyses that have to do with retrogression and wanted to know what could be done to work through the retrogression issues. She believes it is a very good plan and she would like to see it considered for its value and not just discounted because of the statistical problems. Elections staff explained retrogression for the audience and the fact that we are prohibited from reducing the minority voting strength in District 3 in any significant way. Discussed the fact that there are ways it could be resolved such as Plan A. The supervisors will be considering the Tonto Apache input as well as input from the City of Globe, the Mayor of Globe, who is very concerned about dilution of minority voting strength, and other public comment. They will evaluate a lot of different factors and perhaps some other alternatives for the way things could be done. Likes College Plan 1. Is somewhat concerned about the population deviation being too high.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcableone.com	Prefers the Tonto Tribe Plan D and Community College District #1. Wanted to know if Plan A could be tweaked at the BOS work session on September 20. Mentioned she had made the same presentation at the Tonto Basin public meeting and got shot down, but wanted to run it by this audience. Feels Plan D makes the Democrats and Republicans more even in District 3 so it could be a swing district whereas now it is a Democratic district. If it is changed they would have a chance of voting for a candidate that would more readily represent both the north and south. Just because they used a mixed minority 10 years ago without the same communities of interest, the same culture, and the same language, that is really not right and does that mean that you can't make it right by letting these people (the Hispanics) be with the people that they usually vote with and letting the Native Americans be with who they vote with.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Feezor	Mac	828 W Longhorn Road, Payson, AZ 855401	928.472.2449	mac-feezor@msn.com	Wanted to know if we had found any case law regarding minorities "self-selecting" to reduce the total minority concentration and whether we had yet heard a definite answer from Hayden/Winkelman area. Staff responded that no, we had not seen any case law and that the meeting in Hayden/Winkelman was scheduled for the next Monday. We do have the Federal Register which provides us the laws the DOJ will use to review our plans. Pointed out that in fact there are some revisions that were published in the Spring of this year that are very specific with regard to reduction of minority voting strength. Mr. Feezor still believes that by stretching each minority in it's own district that should be OK as long as the minorities are agreeable. Stated that the County had received no input from the other Tribal Councils as to whether they were in agreement with the Tonto Apache or not. Stated that one of the Tribal attorneys made a statement that he did not understand why we would split the Hispanic and Native American populations.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Miller	Kathleen	818 N Blue Spruce Circle, Payson, AZ 85541	928.951.3717	kdm@pobox.com	Wanted to know if when things are sent to the DOJ are comments allowed in the process of sending or do they just get the maps and the statistics. Elections staff noted that all comments that are submitted will go in as a part of the submission

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcableone.com	Wanted to know if "they" could send a different map to DOJ with a public write-up about it based on the issues they are concerned about. Elections staff responded that the board of supervisors has the responsibility and the authority to make the submission and any public comments made will be included with their submission. Only one submission can be made. Ms. Dye then wanted to know if we could tweak a plan for the Board to review at their meeting on 9/20. Staff responded that the Board will be taking comments but if citizens wanted to discuss tweaking with individual board members they could call them.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Gartner	Nancy	706 S Country Club Lane, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.4627	gng_gartner@npgcable.com	Wanted to know why we do redistricting if we are not going to reflect changes in population to represent the true current population. Gerrymandering seems to misrepresent true population. Elections staff stated that we actually are doing it to reflect changes in population. Pointed out the statistics in one of the maps which show how the population is being divided and that what the northern population is depends on what you call north. Ms. Gartner questioned why we were not equalizing minorities. Staff explained that the other requirement was not equalization of minorities, but rather the fact that we cannot reduce the current population of minorities within a current district and that those numbers are reflected in the voting age statistics.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Stephenson	Larry	840 S Moonlight Dr, Star Valley, AZ 85541	602.690.0057	lstephe739@aol.com	Thinks all three of the community college district maps are viable alternatives and he would feel comfortable with any of the three.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Salwitz	Georgia	2003 Fairway Avenue, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.5079	georgiasalwitz@yahoo.com	Seems that districting representation should be based on total population divided by the number of representatives.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/12/2011 9:55 am	T	Dalby	Wanda	1104 S Milk Ranch Point, Payson, AZ 85541	928.472.7979	bobdalbyre@msn.com	Wants the DOJ to know that she would like them to OK the Tonto Apache plan for redistricting (Plan D) as submitted.
09/12/2011 10:15 am	T	Detweiller	Cora	904 E Phoenix St, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.8081	None	Approves of the Tonto Apache submission (Plan D)
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Badillo	Monica	P. O. Box 233, Hayden, AZ 85135	520.461.4233	mbadillo3@yahoo.com	Not in favor of Plan D. Is most in favor of Plan C.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Kerlock	Sylvia	P. O. Box 386, Winkelman, AZ	520.356.7854	skerlock@townofwinkelma n.com	In favor of Draft Plan C and will recommend that to the Town Council.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Ruiz	Gloria	P. O. Box 1024, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6103		In favor of Plan C.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Cooper	Ronda				Expressed her desire to remain in District 3. In favor of Plan C and opposed to Plan D
09/14/2011 11:25 am	T	Sneezy	Ricardo	Gilson Wash, San Carlos, AZ 85505			Wanted to know status of maps. Staff advised him about the two upcoming meetings. Wanted to know which map would most likely be adopted. Staff told him no decision has yet been made. He understands San Carlos is to be moved out of District 3 away from Ice House Canyon. Explained that none of the plans move San Carlos away from District 3, and that some plans, including the Tonto Apache plan would move precincts in the Globe area around.
09/14/2011	E	Brown	James (Bing) and Carol G.	575 W Beaver Flat Rd, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.9269	bingbrown@q.com	Supports Draft Plan D. Believe plan is most fair for all voter groups including Native Americans and that it makes the fewest changes in current boundaries of districts thus reducing confusion

Communication Methods: E=Email/Web Site;
F=Fax; P=Public Meeting; T=Telephone

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/15/2011 7:49 am	T and E	Loeffler	Tom	Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.3342	atmloeffler@msn.com	Not in favor of College Plan #3: Takes away from north and transfers more power to mid section of County. (1) "We should be getting more representation to reflect the greater population, not less." (2) Believes representatives should live among people they represent. In this plan District 1 will represent people in the mid to far south of the County (Tonto Basin and upper portion of Roosevelt). Also believes College Plan #3 did not look like this in the top portion of the County when the Committee presented it to the Board. (Mr. Loeffler was sent an email with the progress of Draft Plan #3 which shows that in fact the map has not substantially changed in the top half of the County from when it was first drawn by Tom Moody. Only major change was in the Hayden/Winkelman/Christmas area)
09/15/2011	F	Aguirre, Sr.	Manuel B.	P. O. Box 554, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6417		Agrees with Plan C. Says this will better benefit the Town. Hopefully a representative from Globe will be readily available to assist the Town.
09/15/2011	F	Chillsen	Elaine M.	P. O. Box 23, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6318	wellingtonstudio@msn.com	Supports Plan C. It leaves us where we are:
09/15/2011	F	Bracamonte	Louis C.	P. O. Box 526, Hayden, AZ 85135	520.356.7624		Draft Plan C would be acceptable.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments