



## Gila County Redistricting Advisory Committee



Chair:  
Roberto Sanchez

Vice-Chair:  
Gary L. Andress

Members:  
Robert Dalby  
James M. Feezor  
Thomas J. Moody  
James Muhr  
Robert W. Pastor

David K. Prechtel  
Adelaido Rodriguez  
Joseph Skamel  
Loretta Stone  
Michael Vogel

---

PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §38-431.02, THE GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE WILL HOLD AN OPEN MEETING AT THE GILA COUNTY COURTHOUSE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HEARING ROOM, 1400 E ASH STREET, GLOBE, AZ. ONE OR MORE COMMITTEE MEMBERS MAY PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL OR BY INTERACTIVE TELEVISION VIDEO (ITV). ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IS WELCOME TO ATTEND THE MEETING OR PARTICIPATE VIA ITV WHICH WILL BE AVAILABLE AT THE GILA COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 610 E HWY 260, PAYSON, AZ. THE AGENDA IS AS FOLLOWS:

### GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### WORK SESSION AND REGULAR MEETING

FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011 - 5:30 P.M.

**1. Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance**

**2. Roll Call of Committee Members**

**3. Work Session**

- A. Presentation/Discussion regarding alternative mapping ideas.  
(Chairman Sanchez)

**4. Regular Meeting**

- A. Information/Discussion/Action to approve the RAC Meeting Minutes of June 6, 2011 and July 19, 2011. (Chairman Sanchez)
- B. Information/Discussion/Action to select the Supervisory and Community College mapping alternatives to be presented to the Board of Supervisors.  
(Chairman Sanchez)

**C. Call to the Public:** Call to the Public is held for public benefit to allow individuals to address issues within the Committee's jurisdiction. Committee members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to ARS §38-431.01(G), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to criticism, or scheduling the matter for further discussion and decision at a future date. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes and the Committee reserves the right to limit the time allotted for public comment.

IF SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS ARE NEEDED, PLEASE CONTACT ELIZABETH MATA AT (928) 402-8709 AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE TO ARRANGE THE ACCOMMODATIONS. FOR TTY, PLEASE DIAL 7-1-1 TO REACH THE ARIZONA RELAY SERVICE AND ASK THE OPERATOR TO CONNECT YOU TO (928) 402-8709.

THE COMMITTEE MAY VOTE TO HOLD AN EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING LEGAL ADVICE ON ANY MATTER LISTED ON THE AGENDA PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §38-431.03(a)(3).

THE ORDER OR DELETION OF ANY ITEM ON THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION AT THE MEETING.

# GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

## MEETING MINUTES

Friday, July 29, 2011 at 5:30 P.M.

---

### **Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance (Dave Rogers)**

### **Roll Call (Linda Eastlick)**

**PRESENT:** RAC Chairman, Roberto Sanchez; RAC Vice Chairman, Gary Andress; RAC Committee Members: Robert Dalby, James Feezor, Adelaido Rodriguez, Joseph Skamel, Mike Vogel, David Prechtel, James Muhr; RAC Alternates: Marvin Mull and Linda Pearce

Also present: Elections Director Linda Eastlick and Elections Specialist David Rogers

### **WORK SESSION ITEMS**

#### **Presentation/Discussion regarding alternative mapping ideas (Chairman Sanchez)**

Meeting began with discussion of the College District mapping alternatives. Mr. Andress advised that a final adjustment was made to the Community College Map "AzBandit" by James Feezor. Mr. Feezor eliminated some disconnected areas of the map. This improved the total population deviation from 7.5% to 3.7%. The College District study group agreed with the correction.

Discussion then turned to the Supervisorial mapping alternatives. Linda Eastlick pointed out that adjustments to the Tonto Apache Tribe map would probably be necessary but that Tony Sissions recommended it be submitted as is to allow the Board of Supervisors to see what the Tribe had recommended. The Committee discussed the Native American request of tribal unification in one District. Linda Eastlick noted that obliging the wishes of the Tonto Apache tribe could cause retrogression in the mapping alternative. Committee concluded that the Supervisors and Tony Sissions may need to adjust the map and agreed the report to the Supervisors should acknowledge the potential retrogression issue

Marvin Mull advised that the Navajo Nation was considering inclusion of all three reservation areas, San Carlos, White Mountain, and Tonto Apache in a legislative district map along with a large majority of the Navaho Nation. He stated that no decision had been made as of yet.

Committee discussed when their work would be done. Linda Eastlick advised them that their work was essentially complete today.

### **REGULAR MEETING**

**Information/Discussion/Action to select the Supervisory and Community College mapping alternatives to be presented to the Board of Supervisors (Chairman Sanchez)**


A motion was made by James Feezor to approve three College District Mapping alternatives: TJM06 submitted by Tom Moody, KLF06B submitted by Mrs. K. Feezor, and AZBandit CC02, submitted by Blaine Kimball. The motion was seconded by Robert Dalby. The three college district mapping alternatives were unanimously approved by the committee for forwarding to the Board of Supervisors.


A motion was made by Adelaido Rodriguez to approve three Supervisorial Mapping alternatives: TJM01 submitted by Tom Moody, KLFSP01 submitted by Mrs. K. Feezor, and TAT01, submitted by the Tonto Apache Tribal Council.. The motion was seconded by James Feezor. The three supervisorial mapping alternatives were unanimously approved by the committee for forwarding to the Board of Supervisors.

**Meeting Adjourned**



**Changes Proposed to Gila County  
Supervisor Districts by K Feezor, Plan Klf sp016  
RAS Adjustment**





# Plan KLFSP016, Globe Area

Globe #1

Miami #1

Claypool #2

Central Heights

Globe #2

Globe #3

Claypool #3

Globe #5

East Globe

Claypool #1

Globe #6

Globe #7

Globe #11

**Precincts**

**Current Districts**

**Proposed Districts**

- 1
- 2
- 3

0 .5 1 1.5

Miles



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**  
**TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN**  
**PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, RAS Adjustment klf sp016**

	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
<b>Number:</b>				
Hispanic, of any race	1,465	5,257	2,866	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	15,891	11,751	7,656	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	85	98	65	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	356	677	6,942	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	126	81	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	5	12	39
Non-Hispanic other race	20	24	22	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	23	26	62
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>17,966</b>	<b>17,961</b>	<b>17,670</b>	<b>53,597</b>
<b>Percent:</b>				
Hispanic, of any race	8.15%	29.27%	16.22%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.45%	65.43%	43.33%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.47%	0.55%	0.37%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.98%	3.77%	39.29%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.63%	0.70%	0.46%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.03%	0.07%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.13%	0.12%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.13%	0.15%	0.12%
<b>Total Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	<b>Total</b>
Total Population	17,966	17,961	17,670	<b>deviation:</b>
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	100	95	-196	296
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	0.56%	0.53%	-1.10%	1.66%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580


**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, RAS Adjustment kif sp016**

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	945	3,629	1,945	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	13,682	9,792	6,448	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	47	76	48	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	265	457	4,423	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	97	65	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	3	10	30
Non-Hispanic other race	9	16	19	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	16	16	43
<b><i>Voting-Age Population</i></b>	<b>15,066</b>	<b>14,086</b>	<b>12,974</b>	<b>42,126</b>
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>				
Hispanic, of any race	6.27%	25.76%	14.99%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.81%	69.52%	49.70%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.54%	0.37%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.76%	3.24%	34.09%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.60%	0.69%	0.50%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.02%	0.08%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.11%	0.15%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.11%	0.12%	0.10%
<b><i>Voting-Age Percent</i></b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

# Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Supervisory Districts

Plan submitted by: klf sp016, RAS Adjustment




**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**


**PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, RAS Adjustment klf sp016**

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed Plan</b>	<b>Change</b>
Population, District 1	18,105	17,966	-139
Population, District 2	17,151	17,961	810
Population, District 3	18,341	17,670	-671
Population deviation, District 1	239	100	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	95	
Population deviation, District 3	475	-196	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	0.56%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	0.53%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	-1.10%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	296	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	1.66%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.27%	0.04%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	25.76%	0.88%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	14.99%	-1.46%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.76%	0.01%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.24%	-0.39%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	34.09%	1.32%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.54%	0.08%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.37%	-0.09%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.19%	0.06%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	30.48%	0.32%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	50.30%	0.02%

**Changes Proposed to Gila County  
Supervisory Districts by Tom Moody, Plan TJM01  
RAS Adjustment**



# Plan TJM01, Payson Area



Pine-Strawberry

Payson #5

Payson #8

Star Valley

Payson #4

3

Payson #3

Payson #6

Payson #1

Payson #2

**Precincts**

**Current Districts**

**Proposed Districts**

- 1
- 2
- 3

0 .5 1 1.5

Miles

# Plan TJM01, Globe Area

Globe #1

Claypool #2

Central Heights

East Globe

Globe #2

Claypool #1

Globe #8






Globe #3

Globe #4

Globe #5

Globe #6

Globe #11

-  Precincts
-  Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
-  1
-  2
-  3



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tom Moody, RAS Adjustment TJM01**

	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
<b>Number:</b>				
Hispanic, of any race	1,463	5,178	2,947	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	15,799	11,807	7,692	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	83	93	72	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	356	686	6,933	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	128	79	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	11	6	39
Non-Hispanic other race	20	31	15	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	21	28	62
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>17,870</b>	<b>17,955</b>	<b>17,772</b>	<b>53,597</b>
<b>Percent:</b>				
Hispanic, of any race	8.19%	28.84%	16.58%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.41%	65.76%	43.28%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.46%	0.52%	0.41%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.99%	3.82%	39.01%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.64%	0.71%	0.44%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.03%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.17%	0.08%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.12%	0.16%	0.12%
<b>Total Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	<b>Total</b> 17,866
Total Population	17,870	17,955	17,772	<b>deviation:</b> 17,772
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	4	89	-94	183
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	0.02%	0.50%	-0.52%	1.02%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580




**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tom Moody, RAS Adjustment TJM01**

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	943	3,544	2,032	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	13,601	9,794	6,527	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	46	69	56	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	265	463	4,417	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	98	64	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	8	5	30
Non-Hispanic other race	9	23	12	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	15	17	43
<b>Voting-Age Population</b>	<b>14,982</b>	<b>14,014</b>	<b>13,130</b>	<b>42,126</b>

<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	6.29%	25.29%	15.48%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.78%	69.89%	49.71%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.49%	0.43%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.77%	3.30%	33.64%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.60%	0.70%	0.49%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.16%	0.09%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.11%	0.13%	0.10%
<b>Voting-Age Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix, AZ (602) 230-9580

**Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County  
Supervisory Districts**  
Plan submitted by: TJM01, RAS Adjustment




Source: Census 2010  
Redistricting Data (Public  
Law 94-171) Summary File,  
Arizona

**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**  
**PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tom Moody, RAS Adjustment TJM01**

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed Plan</b>	<b>Change</b>
Population, District 1	18,105	17,870	-235
Population, District 2	17,151	17,955	804
Population, District 3	18,341	17,772	-569
Population deviation, District 1	239	4	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	89	
Population deviation, District 3	475	-94	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	0.02%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	0.50%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	-0.52%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	183	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	1.02%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.29%	0.06%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	25.29%	0.41%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	15.48%	-0.97%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.77%	0.02%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.30%	-0.33%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	33.64%	0.87%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.49%	0.03%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.43%	-0.03%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.22%	0.09%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	30.11%	-0.05%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	50.29%	0.01%

**Changes Proposed to Gila County  
Supervisor Districts by Tonto Apache Tribal Council  
Plan TAT01**



# Plan TAT01, Payson Area

Zane Grey

Pine-Strawberry

Payson #5

Payson #8

Payson #4

Payson #3

Payson #6




Star Valley

Payson #1


Payson #2

Young

**Voting District**  
**Current Districts**  
**Proposed Districts**

	1
	2
	3

0 1 2 3  
Miles



# Plan TAT01, Globe Area



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**

**TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN**

**PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01**

	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Districts</u>	<u>Total, All</u>
<b>Number:</b>					
Hispanic, of any race	1,467	6,226	1,895	9,588	
Non-Hispanic White	16,025	10,424	8,849	35,298	
Non-Hispanic Black	87	96	65	248	
Non-Hispanic American Indian	357	629	6,989	7,975	
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	127	80	321	
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	11	6	39	
Non-Hispanic other race	20	25	21	66	
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	24	25	62	
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>18,105</b>	<b>17,562</b>	<b>17,930</b>	<b>53,597</b>	
<b>Percent:</b>					
Hispanic, of any race	8.10%	35.45%	10.57%	17.89%	
Non-Hispanic White	88.51%	59.36%	49.35%	65.86%	
Non-Hispanic Black	0.48%	0.55%	0.36%	0.46%	
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.97%	3.58%	38.98%	14.88%	
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.63%	0.72%	0.45%	0.60%	
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.03%	0.07%	
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.14%	0.12%	0.12%	
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.14%	0.14%	0.12%	
<b>Total Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	<b>Total</b>	
Total Population	18,105	17,562	17,930	<b>deviation:</b>	
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	239	-304	64	543	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	1.34%	-1.70%	0.36%	3.04%	

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix, AZ (602) 230-9580

**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
 VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN  
 PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01**


<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	947	4,331	1,241	<b>6,519</b>
Non-Hispanic White	13,806	8,643	7,473	<b>29,922</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	47	69	55	<b>171</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	266	422	4,457	<b>5,145</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	103	59	<b>252</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	8	5	<b>30</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	9	18	17	<b>44</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	16	16	<b>43</b>
<b>Voting-Age Population</b>	<b>15,193</b>	<b>13,610</b>	<b>13,323</b>	<b>42,126</b>
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>				
Hispanic, of any race	6.23%	31.82%	9.31%	<b>15.48%</b>
Non-Hispanic White	90.87%	63.50%	56.09%	<b>71.03%</b>
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.51%	0.41%	<b>0.41%</b>
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.75%	3.10%	33.45%	<b>12.21%</b>
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.59%	0.76%	0.44%	<b>0.60%</b>
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.06%	0.04%	<b>0.07%</b>
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.13%	0.13%	<b>0.10%</b>
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.12%	0.12%	<b>0.10%</b>
<b>Voting-Age Percent</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona  
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580



# Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Supervisorial Districts

Plan submitted by: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS  
 PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01**

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Proposed Plan</b>	<b>Change</b>
Population, District 1	18,105	18,105	0
Population, District 2	17,151	17,562	411
Population, District 3	18,341	17,930	-411
Population deviation, District 1	239	239	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	-304	
Population deviation, District 3	475	64	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	1.34%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	-1.70%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	0.36%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	543	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	3.04%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.23%	0.00%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	31.82%	6.94%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	9.31%	-7.14%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.75%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.10%	-0.53%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	33.45%	0.68%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.51%	0.05%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.41%	-0.05%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.13%	0.00%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	36.50%	6.34%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	43.91%	-6.37%

**Consultant's Review Comments:**

This plan reduces the total population deviation by more than half, bringing the total deviation to just over 3%.

The 6 percentage point increase in voting-age minority proportion in District 2 bolsters that district's demonstrated ability to elect candidates favored by minority voters. Under this plan, the voting-age Native American proportion in District 3 also rises, but further analysis will be needed to determine whether District 3's minority populations will continue to be able to elect candidates of their choice. This plan has a lot of potential; a good starting point.