


Changes Proposed to Gila County Community College Districts By K Feezor, Plan klf cc06b



**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, Plan klf cc06b**

<u>Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>District 4</u>	<u>District 5</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	834	1,001	2,178	4,253	1,322	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	9,369	9,654	8,044	5,851	2,380	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	47	44	55	59	43	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	227	322	233	458	6,735	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	67	79	63	84	28	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	16	9	12	2	0	39
Non-Hispanic other race	12	18	15	14	7	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	7	12	13	14	16	62
Total Population	10,579	11,139	10,613	10,735	10,531	53,597
<u>Percent:</u>						
Hispanic, of any race	7.88%	8.99%	20.52%	39.62%	12.55%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.56%	86.67%	75.79%	54.50%	22.60%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.44%	0.40%	0.52%	0.55%	0.41%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	2.15%	2.89%	2.20%	4.27%	63.95%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.63%	0.71%	0.59%	0.78%	0.27%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.15%	0.08%	0.11%	0.02%	0.00%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.16%	0.14%	0.13%	0.07%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.11%	0.12%	0.13%	0.15%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ideal Population	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	Total deviation: 608 5.67%
Total Population	10,579	11,139	10,613	10,735	10,531	
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	-140	420	-106	16	-188	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	-1.31%	3.91%	-0.99%	0.15%	-1.76%	

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580


**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
VOTING-AGE POPULATIONS BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, Plan klf cc06b**

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>District 4</u>	<u>District 5</u>	Total, All <u>Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	509	646	1,503	2,971	890	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	8,172	8,081	6,938	4,725	2,006	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	29	24	38	38	42	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	174	228	159	316	4,268	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	54	64	50	66	18	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	11	8	10	1	0	30
Non-Hispanic other race	3	16	12	8	5	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	5	8	10	9	11	43
<i>Voting-Age Population</i>	8,957	9,075	8,720	8,134	7,240	42,126
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>						
Hispanic, of any race	5.68%	7.12%	17.24%	36.53%	12.29%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	91.24%	89.05%	79.56%	58.09%	27.71%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.32%	0.26%	0.44%	0.47%	0.58%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.94%	2.51%	1.82%	3.88%	58.95%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.60%	0.71%	0.57%	0.81%	0.25%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.09%	0.11%	0.01%	0.00%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.03%	0.18%	0.14%	0.10%	0.07%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.06%	0.09%	0.11%	0.11%	0.15%	0.10%
<i>Voting-Age Percent</i>	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Community College Districts Plan submitted by: AZBandit, Plan Select Map



**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
 PLAN SUBMITTED BY: K Feezor, Plan klf cc06b**

	Current Districts	Proposed Plan	Change
Population, District 1	11,670	10,579	-1,091
Population, District 2	11,342	11,139	-203
Population, District 3	10,231	10,613	382
Population, District 4	8,972	10,735	1,763
Population, District 5	11,382	10,531	-851
Population deviation, District 1	951	-140	
Population deviation, District 2	623	420	
Population deviation, District 3	-488	-106	
Population deviation, District 4	-1,747	16	
Population deviation, District 5	663	-188	
Percent deviation, District 1	8.87%	-1.31%	
Percent deviation, District 2	5.81%	3.91%	
Percent deviation, District 3	-4.56%	-0.99%	
Percent deviation, District 4	-16.30%	0.15%	
Percent deviation, District 5	6.18%	-1.76%	
Total plan deviation, number	2,698	608	
Total plan deviation, percent	25.17%	5.67%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	5.89%	5.68%	-0.21%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	7.24%	7.12%	-0.12%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	19.20%	17.24%	-1.96%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 4	31.10%	36.53%	5.43%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 5	19.92%	12.29%	-7.63%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.93%	1.94%	0.01%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	2.37%	2.51%	0.14%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	2.69%	1.82%	-0.87%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 4	2.40%	3.88%	1.48%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 5	55.19%	58.95%	3.76%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.35%	0.32%	-0.03%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.22%	0.26%	0.04%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.35%	0.44%	0.09%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 4	0.73%	0.47%	-0.26%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 5	0.48%	0.58%	0.10%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	8.97%	8.76%	-0.21%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	10.91%	10.95%	0.04%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	23.12%	20.44%	-2.68%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 4	35.12%	41.91%	6.79%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 5	76.31%	72.29%	-4.02%

Proposer's comments:

If you shave a bit from District 2 and place it in Districts 1 & 3, this would be practically perfect. If you move the Tonto Apache Reservation from 2 to 1, the deviation is less than 5%, for example. To get much closer, you need to start looking at moving census blocks.

Consultant's review comments:

This plan reduces the total population deviation almost down to the 5% goal. The increase in District 4's voting-age minority population is useful. The slight loss in District 5's minority percentage is not damaging because that District was very high to start with.