
Changes Proposed to Gila County
Community College Districts Feezor Plan KLF02
1
2
3
4
5
Voter Areas
Current Districts
Proposed Districts
1
2
3
4
5
0
10
20
30
Miles

GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Feezor CCD Plan KLF02

Number:	**District 1**	**District 2**	**District 3**	**District 4**	**District 5**	**Total, All Districts**
Hispanic, of any race	857	967	2,142	4,151	1,471	***9,588***
Non-Hispanic White	9,914	8,824	8,560	5,734	2,266	***35,298***
Non-Hispanic Black	51	39	45	65	48	***248***
Non-Hispanic American Indian	239	306	257	405	6,768	***7,975***
Non-Hispanic Asian	64	78	69	66	44	***321***
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	16	9	11	3	0	***39***
Non-Hispanic other race	12	18	14	15	7	***66***
Non-Hispanic two or more races	7	12	16	12	15	***62***
Total Population	***11,160***	***10,253***	***11,114***	***10,451***	***10,619***	***53,597***
Percent:						
Hispanic, of any race	7.68%	9.43%	19.27%	39.72%	13.85%	***17.89%***
Non-Hispanic White	88.84%	86.06%	77.02%	54.87%	21.34%	***65.86%***
Non-Hispanic Black	0.46%	0.38%	0.40%	0.62%	0.45%	***0.46%***
Non-Hispanic American Indian	2.14%	2.98%	2.31%	3.88%	63.73%	***14.88%***
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.57%	0.76%	0.62%	0.63%	0.41%	***0.60%***
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.14%	0.09%	0.10%	0.03%	0.00%	***0.07%***
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.18%	0.13%	0.14%	0.07%	***0.12%***
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.06%	0.12%	0.14%	0.11%	0.14%	***0.12%***
Total Percent	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***
Ideal Population	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	***Total deviation:***
Total Population	11,160	10,253	11,114	10,451	10,619	***907***
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	441	-466	395	-268	-100	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	4.11%	-4.35%	3.68%	-2.50%	-0.94%	***8.46%***

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
VOTING-AGE POPULATIONS BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Feezor CCD Plan KLF02

Voting-Age Number:	**District 1**	**District 2**	**District 3**	**District 4**	**District 5**	**Total, All Districts**
Hispanic, of any race	528	619	1,488	2,906	978	***6,519***
Non-Hispanic White	8,612	7,400	7,377	4,605	1,928	***29,922***
Non-Hispanic Black	33	20	27	45	46	***171***
Non-Hispanic American Indian	183	215	179	284	4,284	***5,145***
Non-Hispanic Asian	51	63	54	57	27	***252***
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	11	8	10	1	0	***30***
Non-Hispanic other race	3	16	11	8	6	***44***
Non-Hispanic two or more races	5	8	13	7	10	***43***
Voting-Age Population	***9,426***	***8,349***	***9,159***	***7,913***	***7,279***	***42,126***
Voting-Age Percent:						
Hispanic, of any race	5.60%	7.41%	16.25%	36.72%	13.44%	***15.48%***
Non-Hispanic White	91.36%	88.63%	80.54%	58.20%	26.49%	***71.03%***
Non-Hispanic Black	0.35%	0.24%	0.29%	0.57%	0.63%	***0.41%***
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.94%	2.58%	1.95%	3.59%	58.85%	***12.21%***
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.54%	0.75%	0.59%	0.72%	0.37%	***0.60%***
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.10%	0.11%	0.01%	0.00%	***0.07%***
Non-Hispanic other race	0.03%	0.19%	0.12%	0.10%	0.08%	***0.10%***
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.05%	0.10%	0.14%	0.09%	0.14%	***0.10%***
Voting-Age Percent	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***	***100.00%***

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Community College Districts
Plan submitted by: Feezor Plan KLF02



Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, Arizona

GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Feezor CCD Plan KLF02

	Current Districts	Proposed Plan	Change
Population, District 1	11,670	11,160	-510
Population, District 2	11,342	10,253	-1,089
Population, District 3	10,231	11,114	883
Population, District 4	8,972	10,451	1,479
Population, District 5	11,382	10,619	-763
Population deviation, District 1	951	441	
Population deviation, District 2	623	-466	
Population deviation, District 3	-488	395	
Population deviation, District 4	-1,747	-268	
Population deviation, District 5	663	-100	
Percent deviation, District 1	8.87%	4.11%	
Percent deviation, District 2	5.81%	-4.35%	
Percent deviation, District 3	-4.56%	3.68%	
Percent deviation, District 4	-16.30%	-2.50%	
Percent deviation, District 5	6.18%	-0.94%	
Total plan deviation, number	2,698	907	
Total plan deviation, percent	25.17%	8.46%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	5.89%	5.60%	-0.29%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	7.24%	7.41%	0.17%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	19.20%	16.25%	-2.95%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 4	31.10%	36.72%	5.62%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 5	19.92%	13.44%	-6.48%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.93%	1.94%	0.01%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	2.37%	2.58%	0.21%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	2.69%	1.95%	-0.74%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 4	2.40%	3.59%	1.19%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 5	55.19%	58.85%	3.66%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.35%	0.35%	0.00%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.22%	0.24%	0.02%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.35%	0.29%	-0.06%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 4	0.73%	0.57%	-0.16%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 5	0.48%	0.63%	0.15%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	8.97%	8.64%	-0.33%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	10.91%	11.37%	0.46%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	23.12%	19.46%	-3.66%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 4	35.12%	41.80%	6.68%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 5	76.31%	73.51%	-2.80%

Consultant's review comments:

This plan reduces the population deviation to just one-third of the current districts' value.
The increase in the voting-age minority proportion in District 4 is favorable, and the 3 percentage-point loss in District 5 will probably not cause impermissible retrogression.