

PURSUANT TO A.R.S. SECTION 38-431 THE GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL HOLD AN OPEN MEETING IN THE SUPERVISORS' AUDITORIUM, 1400 EAST ASH STREET, GLOBE, ARIZONA. ONE OR MORE BOARD MEMBERS MAY PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING BY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL OR BY INTERACTIVE TELEVISION VIDEO (ITV). ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IS WELCOME TO ATTEND THE MEETING VIA ITV WHICH IS HELD AT 610 E. HIGHWAY 260, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' CONFERENCE ROOM, PAYSON, ARIZONA. THE AGENDA IS AS FOLLOWS:

REGULAR MEETING - TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2011 - 10 A.M.
R E V I S E D

- 1 Call to Order - Pledge of Allegiance – Invocation
- 2 **PRESENTATIONS:**
 - A Public recognition of 4 employees for July's "Spotlight on Employees" Program, as follows: Liz Fetterman, Lucinda Campbell, Jamie Cunningham and Keith Johnson. **(Erica Raymond)** Recognized
- 3 **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**
 - A **Public Hearing** - Information/Discussion/Action to adopt Resolution No. 11-09-01, temporarily waiving Article 8 of the Gila County Street Naming and Property Numbering Ordinance and naming Forest Service Road 208 as Jim Jones Shooting Range Road. **(Michael O'Driscoll)** Adopted
- 4 **REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS:**
 - A **(Motion to adjourn as the Gila County Board of Supervisors and convene as the Gila County Library District Board of Directors.)** Information/Discussion/Action to authorize the submission of a State Grants-In-Aid Application by the Gila County Library District to the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records, Library Development Division for the 2011-2012 fiscal year in the amount of \$23,000 designated to Gila County for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. **(Jacque Griffin)** Authorized
 - B Information/Discussion/Action to accept and sign the Contract Renewal Amendment Notification on behalf of the Gila County Library District for the First Things First Community-Based Literacy Grant (FTF-RC004-11-0272-01-Y2) in the amount of \$85,000 for fiscal year July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. **(Jacque Griffin)** Accepted
 - C Information/Discussion/Action to approve Memorandum of Understanding Agreements between the Gila County Library District and the following entities to cooperate in the provision of Community-Based Early Literacy advocacy and outreach to the residents of the service area for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012: Friends of the Globe Library - \$9,000; Hayden Public Library - \$2,500; Isabelle Hunt Memorial Library - \$2,500; Library Friends of Payson - \$11,900; Tonto Basin Public Library - \$2,500; and Young Public Library - \$1,800. **(Jacque Griffin) (Motion to adjourn as the Gila County Library District Board of Directors and reconvene as the Gila County Board of Supervisors.)** Approved

- D Information/Discussion/Action to adopt Proclamation 11-08, a proclamation of the Board of Supervisors of Gila County, Arizona to declare the week of September 19, 2011, as "Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve Week" in Gila County. **(Chairman Mike Pastor)** Adopted
- E Information/Discussion/Action to approve Amendment No. 5 to Contract Approved No. C037-09-02 - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Weatherization Low-Income Assistance Contractual Agreement between the State of Arizona, Governor's Office of Energy Policy and Gila County (Division of Community Services, Weatherization Assistance Program), to increase the contract funding from \$1,744,457 to \$2,044,457, an increase of \$300,000, for the continued provision of weatherization services to eligible citizens residing in Gila County for the period effective upon signatures by both parties through March 31, 2012. **(Malissa Buzan)**
- F Information/Discussion/Action to review all bids submitted for Invitation Awarded for Bids No. 061311-1 for the purchase of MC800-TR chip seal oil for Gila County road maintenance and repair; award to the lowest, responsible and qualified bidder, and authorize the Chairman's signature on the award contract for the winning bidder. **(Steve Stratton)**
- G Information/Discussion/Action to approve U.S.D.A. Forest Service Special Use Permit, Authorization ID: TON846, Use Code: 521 for a storage and staging area on the east side of Tonto Creek. **(Steve Stratton)** Approved
- H Information/Discussion/Action to approve the Andres Associates Public Relations and Media Letter of Agreement to provide public relations and social media services to the Gila County Board of Supervisors from August 29, 2011, through October 31, 2011, for Apache Jii Day. **(Don McDaniel)** Approved
- I Information/Discussion/Action to elect a Chairman and Vice-Chairman for the Board of Supervisors (BOS), which will become effective after the BOS meeting on September 6, 2011. **(Chairman Mike Pastor)** Elected
- J Information/Discussion/Action to approve a proposed Judgment to settle tax appeal in Rim Golf Club v. Gila County, TX2011-000108. The Board may vote to go into executive session pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3) to discuss or consult with its attorney for legal advice regarding this proposed judgment. The Board may also vote to go into executive session pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(4) to discuss, consult, or instruct with its attorney regarding settlement discussions to resolve this litigation. **(Bryan Chambers)** Approved
- K Information/Discussion/Action to consider various claims by owners of lots within Strawberry Creek Foothills. The Board may vote to go into executive session pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3) to discuss or consult with its attorney for legal advice regarding the/ various claims. The Board may also vote to go into executive session pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(4) to discuss, consult, or instruct with its attorney Continued

regarding settlement(s) discussions to resolve the claims. **(Bryan Chambers)**

- L Information/Action/Discussion to approve Supervisorial and College District redistricting mapping alternatives to be presented to the public during a second round of public meetings to be held September 6-16, 2011. The Board may also discuss alternative Arizona State redistricting plans. **(Linda Eastlick)** Approved
- 5 **CONSENT AGENDA ACTION ITEMS:**
- A Approval of Amendment No. 2 to Professional Services Contract No. SS71803D-New Bridge Over Tonto Creek, which is between Gila County and Kimley-Horne and Associates, Inc. to extend the contract period from October 2, 2011, to June 30, 2012. Approved
- B Authorization of the Chairman's signature on two separate Intergovernmental Agreements between Gila County and the Town of Hayden in the amount of \$5,233.43, and the Town of Miami in the amount of \$5,233.43 for the distribution of LTAF II (Local Area Transportation Funds). Authorized
- C Approval of the FY11-LE-11031200-007 Cooperative Law Enforcement Agreement Modification between the Gila County Sheriff's Office and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Tonto National Forest to increase the reimbursable funding amount from \$83,000 to \$87,000. Approved
- D Acknowledge receipt of FY2012 Continuance Notification-AZDPS Contract 2009-261 Detention Liaison Officer Program between the Gila County Sheriff's Office and the Arizona Department of Public Safety for continued funding for FY2012, beginning on July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. Acknowledged
- E Approval of a Services Contract between Gila County and Lin-Cum, Inc. whereby Lin-Cum will provide Treasurer's Office microfiche books vault storage for a period of twelve (12) months from July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012 in the amount of \$391.75 per month. Approved
- F Approval of the appointments of the following Precinct Committeemen as submitted by the Gila County Republican Committee: Star Valley Precinct - Marylyn S. Knoner; and Payson 1 Precinct - Carole E. Mathewson. Approved
- G Authorization of the Chairman's signature on the Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) Certification to finalize the FY 2011 HUD contractual obligations and provide a rating for the program. Authorized
- H Approval to accept the reappointment of members to the Gila/Pinal Workforce Investment Board (WIB) as follows: Bernadette Kniffin, representing Native American Programs and Educational Programs, for an additional four-year term retroactive from May 8, 2011, to May 7, 2015, and Marian Sheppard, representing Adult and Youth, for an additional four-year term retroactive from July 27, 2011, to July 26, Approved

2015; and accept the corrected information for other WIB members as listed on the spreadsheet attached to this agenda item.

- | | | |
|---|---|------------|
| I | Approval to adopt Resolution No. 11-08-08 designating Michael O'Driscoll as Applicant Agent and authorizing him to execute for and on behalf of Gila County any documents for the purpose of obtaining certain federal and state financial assistance under the Disaster Relief Act (Public Law 288, 93rd Congress) and the appropriate Arizona Revised Statutes, or otherwise available from the President's Disaster Relief Fund and authorization for the Chairman to sign the Arizona Division of Emergency Management's Designation of Applicant's Agent Form. | Approved |
| J | Authorization of the Chairman's signature on the Maintenance Agreement between Gila County and Digital Imaging for maintenance of the Community Development Division's Kyocera TA-300i Digital MFP copier from September 6, 2011, to September 6, 2012, at a cost of \$0.0049 per copy for an estimated total of \$250-\$300 per month. | Authorized |
| K | Authorization of the Chairman's signature on a Maintenance Agreement between Gila County and Digital Imaging for a Kyocera TA-5500i Digital MFP copier that is being used by the Elections Department for the period September 6, 2011, to September 6, 2012, with an automatic renewal for one additional year at a cost of \$0.0041 per copy for an estimated total of \$600 per year. | Authorized |
| L | Authorization of the Chairman's signature on Amendment No. 1 to a Document Conversion Services Contract between Gila County and Lin-Cum, Inc. for the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Department's document conversion and storage from September 15, 2011, to September 14, 2012, in the amount of \$117.51 per year. | Authorized |
| M | Approval of a Performer Contract Agreement between Gila County and Robert Wagner for a motivational speaking engagement for the Gila County Attorney's Office on September 30, 2011, at the cost of \$1,000. | Approved |
| N | Approval of an Engagement Agreement between Gila County and Clint Lawton for a leadership, communication, interpersonal and interoffice team building event for the Gila County Attorney's Office on September 30, 2011, at the cost of \$250. | Approved |
| O | Approval of the purchase of property located in Globe from the Jack In The Box Corporation in order to realign Monroe Street and authorization of the Chairman's signature on the title documents. | Approved |
| P | Authorization of the Chairman's signature on Form 55-38, Arizona Department of Water Resources Notice of Intent to Abandon a Well, as part of a FEMA funded flood mitigation project located at 668 N. Shady Lane, Tonto Basin, Arizona. | Authorized |
| Q | Approval of a Radvision Maintenance Renewal Agreement between Gila County and Quagga for video conferencing service and upgrades from August 4, 2011, to August 3, 2012, in the amount of \$13,750 per year. | Approved |

R	Acknowledgement of Harry D. Jones' resignation from the Rim Trail Domestic Water Improvement District governing board effective July 21, 2011, and the appointment of Mr. J.P. "Buddy" Rice to complete Mr. Jones' unexpired term of office through December 31, 2012.	Acknowledged
S	Approval of three Special Event Liquor License Applications submitted by the Lions Club of Globe, Arizona, Inc. to serve liquor on September 22, 2011, at the Gila County Fair Beef Cook-Off; September 24, 2011, for the Gila County Fair Concert; and October 1, 2011, for the Miami High School Class Reunion.	Approved
T	Approval of an Application for Extension of Premises/Patio Permit submitted by Tamara Morken of HTNB Ventures, LLC dba Rimside Grill & Cabins to temporarily extend the Rimside Grill patio area in order to serve liquor in that area on September 17, 2011, and October 1, 2011, during special charity events.	Awarded
U	Approval to ratify the Board of Supervisors' approval of 2 applications that were electronically submitted to the Arizona Public Service Solar for Schools and Government Program for the Public Works Building and the Central Heights Facility, and authorize the Chairman's signature on the original documents.	Ratified
V	Approval of the December 14, 2010, December 31, 2010, and January 4, 2011, BOS meeting minutes.	Approved
W	Approval of Board of Equalization Minutes, as follows: September 30, 2008, and October 1, 2008, (Combined Minutes); and August 15, 2011; and approval of the January 25, 2011, Appeal Hearing Minutes for Glynn and Nancy Ross.	Approved
X	Approval of the July 2011 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Globe Regional Justice of the Peace.	Approved
Y	Approval of the July 2011 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Payson Regional Constable	Approved
Z	Approval of the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Annual Report submitted by the Payson Regional Constable	Approved
AA	Approval of the Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Annual Report submitted by the Payson Regional Constable	Approved
BB	Approval of the Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Annual Report submitted by the Payson Regional Constable	Approved
CC	Approval of the July 2011 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Recorder's Office.	Approved
DD	Approval of the July 2011 monthly departmental activity report submitted by the Clerk of Superior Court	Approved
EE	Approval of the July 2011 departmental activity report submitted by the Globe Regional Constable Office	Approved

- FF Approval of the Human Resources reports for the weeks of August 23, 2011, August 30, 2011, and September 6, 2011. Approved
- GG Approval of finance reports/demands/transfers for the weeks of August 23, 2011, August 30, 2011, and September 6, 2011. Approved
- 6 **CALL TO THE PUBLIC:** Call to the Public is held for public benefit to allow individuals to address issue(s) within the Board's jurisdiction. Board members may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute §38-431.01(G), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to criticism, or scheduling the matter for further discussion and decision at a future date. No Comments
- 7 At any time during this meeting pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02(K), members of the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrator may present a brief summary of current events. No action may be taken on issues presented. Discussion Only

IF SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS ARE NEEDED, PLEASE CONTACT THE RECEPTIONIST AT (928) 425-3231 AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE TO ARRANGE THE ACCOMMODATIONS. FOR TTY, PLEASE DIAL 7-1-1 TO REACH THE ARIZONA RELAY SERVICE AND ASK THE OPERATOR TO CONNECT YOU TO (928) 425-3231.

THE BOARD MAY VOTE TO HOLD AN EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING LEGAL ADVICE FROM THE BOARD'S ATTORNEY ON ANY MATTER LISTED ON THE AGENDA PURSUANT TO A.R.S. SECTION 38-431.03(A)((3)

THE ORDER OR DELETION OF ANY ITEM ON THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION AT THE MEETING

GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
GLOBE - SEPTEMBER 6, 2011 10 A. M.
MEETING MINUTES

Chairman, Mike Pastor: (Audio begins) “Item 4L, which will probably be pretty lengthy, information, action, discussion to approve supervisorial and college district redistricting mapping alternatives to present -- to be presented to the public during a second round of public meetings to be held September 6th through 16th, 2011, and the board may also discuss alternative Arizona State redistricting plans. Linda Eastlick?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yes. Good morning, Chairman, members of the board. Today we're here to approve those maps that will go -- be going on for further discussion. We have a number of public meetings that are still to be held, and the board will still be receiving public comments on these mapping alternatives or other ideas that the communities may have in which we're going to conduct the meeting. Our first meeting starts tonight here in Globe, excuse me.

“The maps that are before you today, there have been some adjustments made to those to ensure further compliance with the Voting Rights Act, and I'm certain that after we hear further comment from the public and the board has an opportunity to make further consideration that there may be additional adjustments to mapping as we move forward.

“The communities that we intend to visit as well as people that are here today should know that we are still open for public comment. The board still is -- will be reviewing mapping alternatives. final public comment is due on the 16th, which is the Friday before our work session that the board will have on the 20th of September. At that work session, we will probably be working through a number of additional adjustments. Final maps will not be approved until October 3rd.

“So, with that, I'd ask the board to consider those mapping alternatives that they would like to move forward at this point.”

Supervisor, Mike Pastor: “ Okay. Do we want to do all six of them, or should we do the supervisorial first and then go to the –“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “You might want to do the supervisory first.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “That might make your discussion a little easier.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “All right. We're going to -- we'll discuss the supervisory districts first, Tommie, and then we'll move into the college district. And, I guess I'll open the floor up for discussion between the boards -- between the board.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “ Mr. Chairman, I think that the study has produced three really good maps, and I notice that there's a representative there. Is that a Tonto-Apache board member -- council -- tribal council member?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Yes, ma'am. Vivian Burdette is here”.

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “ Well, I would just say that, with regards to the proposal from the Tonto-Apaches, moving Payson 2 and the Tonto-Apaches into District 3, I understand, and I also understand the question put forth to us and the problem with putting Hayden and Winkelman into District 2, and I hope the Tonto-Apaches can understand that.

“I have talked with several people in Hayden and Winkelman this past week, and Hayden and Winkelman is much like Young. They feel very isolated, and they do not feel that there -- there's a voting block for them with the Tonto-Apaches or with Payson District 2. And so they were all very happy with Plans A, B and C, so -- and I believe that Plan A and B both take into account the request by the Tonto-Apaches for inclusion in District 3 and, because I'm the supervisor of District 3, I understand why they want to be in District 3. No, I know, Tommie, they just -- it isn't that Mike hasn't done a good job. It's that having all three tribes in the same supervisory district would help, I think, in just a uniform service of what we can and cannot do in reservation lands. So --“

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Mr. Chair -- Shirley, did you say that Hayden Winkelman did want to be in 2, or wanted to stay in 3? I couldn't --“

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “My -- those that I talked with are very happy with remaining in 3. I know that you said you had received a call about them going into 2. I haven't -- I didn't run into anyone that was strongly supportive of moving into District 2.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Yeah. I haven't this -- this last week, at -- before our workshop, I had just gotten two calls from -- one from a lady, one from a gentleman, who were very intrigued by moving into 2, but at -- I had -- I thought that they would have, at the time, and I don't know that we were going to do any differently, but I had told them that they would have the opportunity to say so formally during our formal -- after -- when we really pick these four map -- these three maps, and so I was -- one of the things that we had discussed this morning here, before the meeting started with Vivian, was were we were going to pick three or four. We were going to go ahead and let theirs, as they originally laid it out vote with the three that the consultants preferred and see if there's any support out there for one or more of these.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Well, we --“

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “And I guess that's really my question to us is are we going to go with three or are we going to go with four?”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Mr. Chairman, we have a meeting in Hayden and Winkelman next Monday night. I think the meeting that Linda held before was poorly attended. My experience has been down there getting people out to anything but the union picket lines is pretty difficult. We had a good turnout at the picket line, but --“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “This meeting will be held in conjunction with -- excuse me --”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “The council?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “ -- one of the town council meetings.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “I know.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “So, I anticipate that we'll have much more attendance because of that.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “I've made trips down there when the council meetings have been at the council -- cancelled due to a lack of a quorum, and so --”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Yeah.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “--- it'll be interesting to see if they show up, but, like I say, last week when I was there I had no indication that there was any strong support for changing the district that they're in as far as supervisor district. And for everyone's information, actually the San Carlos Apache Tribe, that reservation line goes all the way down to Hayden-Winkelman and into Dudleyville, into Pinal County. And, so unifying that, keeping the reservation, makes a kind of jagged part. If ever the proposal of development by the San Carlos tribe for part of the southern tip of their reservation were to happen, I think they would want to be in the same supervisor district rather than dealing with two supervisors.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Mr. Chair, also, it looks to me like draft plan A and B are virtually the same. There's not hardly a nickel's worth of difference between the two, and I'm thinking if, when we're ready for public comment, I believe that Vivian Berdette would like to speak with us, I think we're at any stage of the game as to where we are with these.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Okay.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “If you have members of the public who wish to address the board of supervisors with comments, I think it's important that we hear what they have to say.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Who I've got, Mr. Chair, are a couple of the committee members and I've got a couple of the folks who are pretty involved in the state districting and so I may have more than just Vivian wanting to speak. I don't know.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. If you would just remind them to identify themselves as -- so that we can have it for the minutes, and whoever wants to go first, they may proceed.”

Tonto-Apache Member, Vivian Burdette: “ Okay. Supervisors Pastor and Dawson, this is Vivian Berdette from the Tonto-Apache tribe. I am a council member there for (indiscernible **12:27:38). I am just wondering, I just heard Supervisor Dawson mentioned that there is a meeting down in the lower section of the county. I guess -- well, my concern is that speaking to a couple of people is not sufficient for my people to say that, oh, okay, we're going to go into a different, you know, but I think that what we need is, is actually what is the feeling of the population there, because it takes a population to make this decision, because that's what we had to do with our people on the reservation. So I feel at this point that maybe after the Monday's meeting, I would like to see what type of population that makes the decision that they want to stay in 3.”

“And then talking about the San Carlos having to stay in 3 and then not cutting off the southern portion of it, what population of the Apache people are in that area of the -- of that section she's talking about. So I think that my concern is that, you know, we've always been isolated up here in Payson, and I feel that when this was done, you know, the Tonto-Apaches were not really involved in this -- the district that was separated. So I feel that what we're doing is -- and you know, that we would like to be with the people that we are familiar with and that we can work with.

“And I know that, you know, we did mention in our map, we mentioned Gisela. I was raised in Gisela. My biological father was raised in Gisela. So, basically, Gisela is like our also, as you would call it, and as, you know, as the world may call it, it was our stomping ground. So I feel that that was one of the reasons why we had, you know, initiated to put Gisela in there, and the population vote that has gone out -- that goes out to the polls, I mean, actually how many of the Native Americans or the Hispanic or the non, you know, how many of it goes out and how is the population evaluated during the elections when we make decisions on these things.

“So I would just like to say that I am still considering and would like to see our map that we have submitted. I know that, as people of the county, I think it should be our decision. I don't think that we should have anyone coming in from the outside to tell us how these maps should be done. I think it should be according to the populations of each district. So I support -- I continue to support our map. But, if A and B are identical, I do agree with our Supervisor Martin that there's very little difference there although we (indiscernible **12:30:26) watch Gisela not being a part of it, but if that's the route we're going to go, but I still would like to know the -- what the vote or what are the concerns in the southern part of the county. Thank you.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “ Mr. Chair, I have -- Mr. Don Ascoli would like to speak also.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay.”

Payson Resident, Don Ascoli: “Don Ascoli, Payson. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Supervisors. I would like to reiterate what Vivian said and our supervisor, Tommie Martin. Plan A and Plan B are very, very similar, and I'm not sure if your objective is to only submit three plans to the public or submit -- supply the plans that are -- have the greatest impact to this process. If the goal is only to submit three plans to the public in this next round, you'd want to give as much of a contrast to generate public discussion and input as possible. So I would agree with Councilman Vivian that we need to have the public respond to the plan that was provided by them and I would suggest you pick A or B as one of the three. “

“If you're just wanting to get the input of the most popular submitted plans, the packet I'm looking at shows four plans, and I would suggest you go forward with the four. But I do think it's important that you give the public as much a variety as possible and including the one from the Tonto-Apache Tribal Council if that makes that happen. Thank you.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Thank you. Anybody else, Tommie?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “I don't think at this time, Mr. Chair.

Chairman, Mike Pastor: Okay. There's a person here who would like to be recognized. Linda Pearce? If you'll identify yourself? Come to the podium, Linda and you can -- you can fill your forms out later.

Resident, Linda Pearce: Regarding the statement that A and B are virtually the same, they are not. If you look at B, Central Heights and Claypool 2, which are right in the middle of the populated area down here are picked up and put in 3. Now, ground wise, on the map, it looks like, you know, it should go there. But the roads -- I mean, there's a line of mountains. So Central Heights and Claypool 2 would be totally isolated from everyone around them, so they are not virtually the same. Maybe in the north, but not in the south. And I really oppose B.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Thank you, Linda. Are there any more comments from the public here? Okay. Tommie, you don't have anybody right now. I'm going to ask Linda to continue with her presentation, or is there somebody who wishes to address?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Not right now, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. Linda, you want to --”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “We can either move on to the college plans or if you want to, you know, go ahead and determine what you'd like to do on the supervisory plans now, we can do that. But we can move ahead and talk about the college plans if you would like to do that.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. I do have some comments. At the last workshop, when we looked at all of the proposals and at that time I believe the Tonto-Apache tribal proposal TAT01 was the plan that was originally submitted.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “That's correct.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “And I think, Tommie, you had the question as to why there had been no adjustments to that one and there had been to the other two, and I think we had agreed that they -- there should have been some adjustments presented, and that was kind of the direction that we gave the redistricting consultants. The direction we gave them was to take the three proposals that were presented by the committee, which included the Tonto-Apache, I think Mr. Teaser -- or Mr. Feezor's proposal?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “It was Mrs. Feezor.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Mrs. Feezor's proposal and Tom Moody's proposal, and we asked them to go back to the drawing board and tweak and fine tune and try and bring them much closer into the requirements of the Department of Justice because the Tonto -- I think the Tonto Apache's initial proposal would not meet the standards set by Department of Justice as to being a --”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Regressive --”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “-- it -- yeah, it was -- that's the word I'm looking for. They would lead to regression rather than being a viable map to review. So -- and I think that's what they've -- they had -- they have done by presenting, sheesh, I believe it's Plan A is a revision of the Tonto?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “That's correct, yes. Uh-huh.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “It -- okay. I'm so confused. We got so many different plans laying out here in front of --”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yeah.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “But I think Plan A in this packet that we were given today is the proposal that's been fine tuned per our request, and it would fit in with -- it would be Proposal A, B and C of this packet that were the maps that were presented to the board by the committees to consider for public review and I thought that's where we were going. We were going to wait for the revision and then go with the three plans as they were tweaked. Now, I might be wrong, but I think that's what my understanding was. So, at this point, I believe we should be looking at Plans A, B and C and making a decision if we want to go with two of them, three of them, and present them to the public. So that's kind of where I'm --”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “And, Mr. Chair, my -- this end listening that I absolutely wanted to see what the consultants thought the Tonto-Apache plan -- they had asked for minor -- they had somewhere in there it said something about they didn't mind minor changes. And I was curious to see what the consultants thought it would look like to make those changes, but I wouldn't call this minor. And obviously down there, there are some very definite concerns over A versus C, not just from the Tonto-Apache perspective, but folks down there as well. “

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Yeah, I --”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “I don't see why we couldn't pull out all four and let people talk about them. I realize that there's a consultant concern about retrogression. I had asked them to provide me with that data that proved that, and I've not received that. One of the concerns at the tribal council was why we thought that this was -- when this first got started, why the consultants thought 24 percent Hispanic could elect the candidate of their choice, and two, the 43 percent Native Americans couldn't, and that was why I had asked for the actual data from the consultants as to why they thought 24 percent Hispanic in 2 could elect their candidate of choice and 43 percent in 3 couldn't.

“And, at the time, they were hanging their hat on -- we had gone over this magic number of we're now 50.3 percent of a majority minority. And again, the Tonto-Apache are saying, in fact, do the eight -- seven or eight percent Hispanic in Hayden-Winkelman make that big of a difference added to the 43 percent Native American, or in fact are they better served adding to the 24 or 25 percent Hispanic.

“I think those are still good questions, and I really don't see a problem of letting all four of these plans vote. I don't know that we had a magic number of three. The one being -- I mean, I go back and look at the two, and I haven't looked at the fine print as the lady in the south has. A and B are virtually the same.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Yes, there may be a precinct here and a precinct there, but from the Tonto-Apache perspective, it's virtually the same.

“And you heard that it was important to them to bring the folks that are in Gisela along with them. I think they can see that maybe there's maybe 15 people, 15 Native

American, 15 or 20, that live in Christmas while there are every bit that many that live in Gisela. And I just -- where I am with it is thinking that we ought to just throw all four out there and then you -- then we make the hard decision after we get the public input on the four plans. But we do that after we've let folks mull it over (indiscernible **12:40:21).
“

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Well, is -- the thing that I'm having trouble seeing the difference is the Tonto-Apache have been included in District 3 in two of the maps, and I think all that's being cut off is Gisela's been cut off from their proposal, and the Hayden- Winkelman area, which –“

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Is retrogression.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “ -- it -- yeah. It just, you know, I think we're beating our heads against the wall by putting a plan that, right now, is, you know, the deviation is so great that we know it's not going to pass judgment from the Department of Justice.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “ Do -- Mr. Chairman, I don't know that we know that. I look at A and B, and they're functionally the same. And so it's like gaming our folks out there, telling them there's much of a difference between A and B. I'm not sure that we know going into -- I don't know that we know what Justice would decide if, in fact, that's the one that's supported. I know that we know what our consultants think, and I don't go -- it -- it's up to you all. It's -- if you don't see the difference here between what the Tonto-Apache have promoted versus A and B, which are virtually the same.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Mr. Chairman, on the items that the Tonto-Apaches specifically outlined on what they wanted, I think they're addressed in A and B with the exception of the retrogression which is especially obvious in the lowering of the Hispanic vote in District 3. “

“If I were Hispanic running for reelection in District 2, I would be fighting to say, 'hey, give me those more Hispanic votes.' But it actually retrogresses in District 3, the Hispanic vote. And so there's a very obvious reason, if we were setting on the judgment seat, of the Department of Justice on why you don't take those Hispanic votes out of District 3. We -- we've lowered that significantly.

“And so, I don't have a problem in going to Hayden and Winkelman and showing them this map, which is what I did last week. But, when it comes down to the final vote, I, for one member of this board, will not vote for something that I believe will end up, if we were to approve it, getting thrown out by the Justice Department. We don't have time to play around with those kind of things. So, if you want to take this down to Hayden-Winkelman, but I don't see the purpose in doing that, because I don't think it can go forward.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “I would tend to agree with Supervisor Dawson on that issue. I think that what happens with the -- with Plan A and B and -- because of the -- of what happens in -- you know, when we first started this process, we had -- we have three districts and we knew that District 2 was probably the most critical district that needed to come into compliance with DOJ's understanding of one man -- one vote, one -- one man, one vote, and as we look at these maps, we start digressing from what was in

existence, wasn't actually perfect, but the diversity of District 2, you know, is probably the most diverse district in relation to the other two districts, and the more we continue to try and change it, it gets -- it just gets out of align and puts us in a situation where we will -- where I believe we will get held back by Department of Justice, and then we're all going to be in a pretty sad situation come March and April trying to figure out what's going on. So, at this point, I would call for a motion."

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: "Well, Mr. Chairman, then I move that we put all four plans in front of the public, take their comments, and we then make the hard decision when the time comes."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Okay. There's a motion on the table to submit four plans to the public. Is there a second?"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Mr. Chairman, I just have a really hard time putting forth this one. I'll second the vote -- the motion."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Motion's been made and second to submit Plans A, B and C plus the original Tonto-Apache plan for public comment; is that correct? That would be the --"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Yeah."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Okay. For the second round of public meetings to be held September 6th through 16th, 2011. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye."

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: "Aye."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Opposed? Nay."

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Oh, golly. Oh, gosh."

Unidentified Speaker: "Did he vote nay?"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "I --"

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Supervisor Pastor voted nay."

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "I -- I'm going to vote to send it forward, just because I believe that we do have to make the hard decision, and we'll make it."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Okay. So the motion is to --"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "All four."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "-- all four. All -- let me call for a recount of the vote -- retake of the vote, because I'm not sure where we're at. All those in favor of the motion to submit Plans A, B and C plus the Tonto-Apache original proposal signify by saying aye."

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: "Aye."

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Aye with reservation."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "No -- okay. So opposed. I vote nay. So the vote goes to the record as two/one, and the instruction will be to Linda Eastlick that -- oh, wait a minute. Is there any further discussion on the motions? Hearing none, I'll call for the vote. We called for the vote, right?"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Yeah."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "Okay."

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: "Yeah."

Chairman, Mike Pastor: "I'm getting confused here. All right. So, with that, the motion passes. We will instruct Linda to carry the fourth proposal, or the original Tonto-Apache proposal, to the public meetings for discussion; is that correct?"

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Yeah.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. Did we get all that, Marilyn?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Mr. Chairman, we'll need to post all four of them on the website as well.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yes. We'll take care of that.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “That -- I'm sure that'll be done with -- okay. So now we go to the community college district plans, and Linda Eastlick, I will let you make your presentation.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “On the community college plans some of them have been tweaked slightly. There haven't been any major issues. We still have slight retrogression in District 3, but we really want to wait and see what other public comment we have before we pursue changes in these -- further in these maps. There may be what we call some packing issues, but that's been historical in Gila County, and while that is a Section 2 issue, it's not a Section 5 issue. Section 5 is the issue -- or is the area where the Department of Justice has to review our plans. And so it'll be up to the board to maybe discuss that further and determine if they really want to be too concerned about that at this time. Probably we don't need to be, but it's just something for the board to be aware of. I think these plans are in pretty good shape to go at this point.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “You said one of the plans has some slight retrogression? Which one?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yeah. In District 3, we're going to take a look later this week, and I think it's in all three of them. We may need to strengthen the minorities in District 3 very slightly. “

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. In all --“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “So, we're going to --“

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “ -- in all three plans?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yeah.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. All right.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Very minor things, so we'll work on that a little, but it is very, very minor.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “A question on --“

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Is there any discussion?”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Yes. A question on Plan 3, Linda?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Uh-huh.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Am I reading this right; we're dividing San Carlos and the White Mountain area?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yes. Yeah.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “So that we end up with the White Mountain Apaches, lowering their vote, their ability to elect the candidate of their choice?”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yes. And for those of you in the audience, you can see it fairly easily over there on the wall, the college district plans. So you can see District 5 is fairly large in Plans 1 and 2. In Plan 3, District 3 includes that portion. Now, let's see. “

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “And so –“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Previously -- you can see the blue lines were the previous divisions, so that's where they were previous -- or no, those are our precincts, I'm sorry.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Yeah.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Yeah.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “For just the logistics of it, as Linda Pearce was pointing out, about dividing Central Heights and stuff, a representative on the college board in 3, unless they were elected from Canyon de Cedar Creek Carrizo area, would be represented by someone who would probably never go there? There -- I mean, this is an area totally isolated from the reservations that, I mean, it's through the Salt River Canyon and –“

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “It's way out there.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “ Yeah. It is. I know how far out it is, and I just don't understand how Department of Justice or how our consultants decided that map 3 actually didn't disenfranchise that voting group of people. It just seems like that map screams out to me that we've taken a little portion of those people and taken away their voice in community college, and I also think it has an affect upon the scholarship basis also, and I would have to sit down with the college and go through that, but –“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “And I would -- I believe, and I haven't -- I have not had an opportunity to compare this with the map that came from the Redistricting Advisory Committee, but I believe this is pretty close to the map that they submitted to the board. The consultants have made minor changes in these maps, but nothing major, so this was one of the alternatives previously submitted, pretty close.?”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Tommie, you have any comments on the college –“
community college districts?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Mr. Chair, from, again, the Tonto just recognize that they're isolated in any of the three scenarios from the other two tribes. But, I don't know how we would fix that at all. And the one, the draft plan 3 does seem to maybe do the most disenfranchising of the Apache vote in general was the comment I was getting from them on that one.

“And the draft plan 1 might have more of a -- from a conceptual standpoint make more sense as to how those districts (indiscernible - interference with mic **12:53:47). They are a little more compact. Again, it follows the same reasoning that they gave me on their Tonto-Apache map. They just feel like it's more compact and more communities of interest more together, and -- in draft plan 1. But let me see if there's any other comments up here at this stage of the game. No.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “There's no more comment -- and I don't believe we have any comments down here about it, so is there any further discussion on these three maps? Actually, I think they're all three pretty good, other than, you know, whatever retrogression we need to clean up on them, but –“

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Mr. Chairman, if you're ready for a motion?”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “The chair would entertain a motion.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve Plans 1, 2 and 3 of the college district proposals for redistricting.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Second, Mr. Chair.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay. There's been a motion made and seconded to approve the community college draft plan 1, 2 and 3 as presented. Is there any further discussion? Hearing none, the chair will call for the vote. All those in favor signify by saying aye.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Aye.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Aye.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Aye.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Opposed? Hearing none, motion carries.”

“Okay, Linda, are there any further –“

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “I just have one comment. If -- Marian, if you would change college draft plan A, B and C to college draft plan 1, 2 and 3 on the attachments? Want to make a note of that?”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Oh, okay. Yeah.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Okay. We'll begin with our meetings tonight.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okey dokey. And I'll try and make arrangements –“

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “You know -- Mr. Chair?”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Yes, ma'am?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Can it not be A, B, C and D or something like that?”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: Well, I think they're submitted with numbers. I think they've been submitted with numbers on them, and the supervisory ones have been submitted by letters. It's just a typo here on the –“

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Yes.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “-- on the –“

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Okay.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “-- attachments.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “I was just thinking that instead of fingering the Tonto-Apache, just simply say A, B, C and D.”

Supervisor, Shirley Dawson: “Oh.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “As well as 1, 2 and 3.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Oh, for purposes of taking it out tonight?”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Yes.”

Elections Director, Linda Eastlick: “Okay. I'll see if I can get that change made, yes.”

Supervisor, Tommie Martin: “Okay. Thanks.”

Chairman, Mike Pastor: “Okay”.

ARF-826

Regular Agenda Item 4- L

Regular BOS Meeting

Meeting Date: 09/06/2011

Submitted For: Linda Eastlick **Submitted By:** Linda Eastlick, Elections Department

Department: Elections Department

Presenter's Name: Linda Eastlick

Information

Request/Subject

Gila County Supervisorial and Community College redistricting.

Background Information

The Gila County Redistricting Advisory Committee submitted its ideas to the Board of Supervisors on August 15, 2011. On August 23, the Board discussed all ideas submitted by staff, consultants, the committee, and the public during a work session. The Board requested the consultants take the three supervisory and three college district maps and ensure there were no retrogression issues. These maps are the subject of the September 6 meeting.

Evaluation

The Board must determine at its September 6 meeting which Supervisorial and College District mapping alternatives will be taken out for a second round of public meetings to be held during the period September 6 - 16. Public comments can be made at the meetings, on the Gila County website, or via letter to the Elections Department. A copy of the Public Notice for these meetings is attached.

Conclusion

The Board has the ultimate responsibility and authority to approve redistricting plans for the County. Prior to making final decisions, the Board of Supervisors can take this opportunity to consider all of the information provided by the consultants, hear input from the staff and agree on those alternatives to be taken out for public comment.

Recommendation

The Elections Director recommends the Board consider options and approve those mapping alternatives they wish to be presented to the public during the second round of public meetings.

Suggested Motion

Information/Action/Discussion to approve Supervisorial and College District redistricting mapping alternatives to be presented to the public during a second round of public meetings to be held September 6-16, 2011. The Board may also discuss alternative Arizona State redistricting plans. **(Linda Eastlick)**

Attachments

Public Notice

Supervisory Draft Plan A Maps

Supervisory Draft Plan A Report

Supervisory Draft Plan B Maps

Supervisory Draft Plan B Report

Supervisory Draft Plan C Maps

Supervisory Draft Plan C Report

Supervisory Tonto Plan Maps and Report

College Draft Plan 1 Maps

College Draft Plan 1 Report

College Draft Plan 2 Maps

College Draft Plan 2 Report

College Draft Plan 3 Maps

College Draft Plan 3 Report

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is Hereby Given That the Gila County Board of Supervisors Will Hold a Second Round of Public Hearings for the Purpose of Receiving Public Review and Comment on Proposed Alternative Plans for Redistricting of the Board of Supervisor Districts and Proposed Alternative Plans for Redistricting of the Community College Districts

Second Round Meetings are open to the public and are scheduled as follows::

Date	Time	Location
9/6/11	6:00 PM	Gila County Courthouse, Globe, AZ
9/7/11	9:00 AM	White Mountain Apache Tribal Council, Whiteriver, AZ
9/7/11	6:00 PM	Tonto Basin School, Tonto Basin, AZ
9/8/11	6:00 PM	Best Western Payson Inn, Payson, AZ
9/12/11	5:30 PM	Hayden Town Hall, Hayden, AZ

The proposed alternative maps will be available for viewing at these public meetings and, beginning September 6, 2011, they will be available on the Gila County Website www.gilacountyaz.gov (click on the orange and yellow Gila County Redistricting banner and follow the links).

The public is encouraged to attend the above meetings and make comments on the Redistricting mapping alternatives. Comments may be made (1) in person at the meetings; (2) via the Gila County Website www.gilacountyaz.gov (click on the orange and yellow Gila County Redistricting banner and follow the links to the "Internet Public Comment Form" ; (3) by sending a letter to the Gila County Department of Elections, 5515 S Apache Ave, Suite 900, Globe, AZ 85501. Questions can be directed to the Gila County Department of Elections at 928.402.8709.

The Gila County Board of Supervisors will discuss public comments received by September 16, 2011 at a Work Session to be held on September 20, 2011 at 10:00 am. The Board will adopt a final Supervisorial District plan and a final Community College District plan at the regular Board meeting to be held on Monday, October 3, 2011 at 10:00 am. These meetings are open to the public and will be held in the Board of Supervisors Hearing Room in the Gila County Courthouse, Globe, AZ. ITV will be available from the Gila County Administration Building in Payson, AZ.

AVISO PÚBLICO

Se notifica al público por este medio que la Junta de Supervisores del Condado de Gila realizará una segunda ronda de reuniones públicas con el propósito de estudiar y discutir los planes alternativos propuestos para la redistribución de distritos de la Junta de Supervisores y los planes alternativos propuestos para la redistribución de distritos del Colegio Comunitario del Condado. Las reuniones de esta segunda ronda están abiertas al público y se llevarán a cabo de la manera siguiente:

Fecha	Hora	Lugar
9/6/11	6:00 PM	Tribunal del Condado de Gila, Globe, AZ
9/7/11	9:00 AM	Consejo Tribal Apache de White Mountain , White River, AZ
9/7/11	6:00 PM	Escuela de Tonto Basin, Tonto Basin, AZ
9/8/11	6:00 PM	Best Western Payson Inn, Payson, AZ
9/12/11	5:30 PM	Municipalidad de Hayden, Hayden, AZ

Las alternativas de mapas propuestos estarán a la disposición del público durante esas reuniones y, a partir del 6 de septiembre de 2011, estarán disponibles en la página de la red el Condado de Gila en www.gilacountyaz.gov (pulse en el aviso anaranjado y amarillo titulado "Gila County Redistricting" y siga los enlaces).


Se invita al público a asistir a las reuniones arriba mencionadas y a expresar sus comentarios sobre las alternativas de mapas de la redistribución de distritos. Los comentarios puede ser expresados (1) en persona, durante las reuniones; (2) A través de la página de la red del Condado de Gila www.gilacountyaz.gov (pulse en el aviso anaranjado y amarillo que dice "Gila County Redistricting" y siga los enlaces para llegar a "Internet Public Comment Form"; (3) enviando una carta al Departamento Electoral del Condado de Gila, 5515 S Apache Ave, Suite 900, Globe, AZ 85501; (4) comunicándose con un miembro de la Junta de Supervisores. Si tiene preguntas llame al número telefónico 928.402.8709 del Departamento Electoral del Condado de Gila.







La Junta de Supervisores del Condado de Gila tendrá una sesión de trabajo a las 10:00 a.m. el día 20 de septiembre de 2011 para discutir los comentarios recibidos del público hasta 16 de septiembre de 2011. La Junta decidirá la versión final del mapa de Distrito de Supervisores y la versión final del mapa del Distrito del Colegio Comunitario durante la reunión ordinaria de la Junta a las 10:00 am del día lunes 3 de octubre de 2011. Ambas reuniones estarán abiertas al público y se llevarán a cabo en la Sala de Audiencias de la Junta de Supervisores en el Tribunal del Condado de Gila, en Globe, Arizona. La sesión se transmitirá por televisión interactiva en la sala de conferencias del edificio administrativo del Condado de Gila en Payson, Arizona.

09/06/11 MEETING DISCUSSION/OBJECTIVES

- Review all the maps including:
- Adjustments to Plan A
- Plans B and C are unchanged
- College maps meet Section 2; some adjustment needed in District 5 to address other issues
- Agree which BOS maps will go out for 2nd Round public meetings

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS DRAFT PLAN A



-  Precincts
 -  Census Block
 -  Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
-  1
 -  2
 -  3



Globe #1

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS DRAFT PLAN A GLOBE AREA DETAIL

Claypool #2

Miami #1

Central Heights

East Globe

Claypool #1

Globe #8

Globe #2

Globe #3







Globe #4

Globe #5

Globe #6

Globe #7

Globe #11

-  Precincts
-  Census Block
-  Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
-  1
-  2
-  3



Whispering Pines

Zane Grey

Pine-Strawberry

GILA COUNTY SUPERVISOR DISTRICTS DRAFT PLAN A PAYSON AREA DETAIL

Payson #5

Payson #8

Payson #4

Star Valley

Payson #3

Payson #6

Payson #1

Payson #2

 Precincts

 Census Block


 Current Districts

Proposed Districts

 1

 2

 3



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
DRAFT PLAN A**

<u>Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	1,467	5,236	2,885	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	16,025	11,908	7,365	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	87	126	35	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	357	667	6,951	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	130	77	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	10	7	39
Non-Hispanic other race	20	27	19	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	17	32	62
Total Population	18,105	18,121	17,371	53,597
<u>Percent:</u>				
Hispanic, of any race	8.10%	28.89%	16.61%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.51%	65.71%	42.40%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.48%	0.70%	0.20%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.97%	3.68%	40.01%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.63%	0.72%	0.44%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.15%	0.11%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.09%	0.18%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	Total deviation: 750 4.20%
Total Population	18,105	18,121	17,371	
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	239	255	-495	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	1.34%	1.43%	-2.77%	


Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS
VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
DRAFT PLAN A**

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	947	3,603	1,969	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	13,806	9,914	6,202	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	47	101	23	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	266	461	4,418	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	100	62	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	7	6	30
Non-Hispanic other race	9	19	16	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	13	19	43
<i>Voting-Age Population</i>	15,193	14,218	12,715	42,126
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>				
Hispanic, of any race	6.23%	25.34%	15.49%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.87%	69.73%	48.78%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.71%	0.18%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.75%	3.24%	34.75%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.59%	0.70%	0.49%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.05%	0.05%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.13%	0.13%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.09%	0.15%	0.10%
<i>Voting-Age Percent</i>	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Supervisory Districts DRAFT PLAN A



**GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS
DRAFT PLAN A**

	Current	Proposed Plan	Change
Population, District 1	18,105	18,105	0
Population, District 2	17,151	18,121	970
Population, District 3	18,341	17,371	-970
Population deviation, District 1	239	239	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	255	
Population deviation, District 3	475	-495	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	1.34%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	1.43%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	-2.77%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	750	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	4.20%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.23%	0.00%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	25.34%	0.46%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	15.49%	-0.96%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.75%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.24%	-0.39%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	34.75%	1.98%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.71%	0.25%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.18%	-0.28%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.13%	0.00%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	30.27%	0.11%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	51.22%	0.94%

RAS Adjustments to Tonto Apache Tribe Plan TAT01

Benchmark RAS-TAT01 %-pt. Change

Population Variance	6.66%	4.20%	
Voting-age Total Minority			
District 1	9.13%	9.13	0.00%
District 2	30.16%	30.27	0.11%
District 3	50.28%	51.22	0.94%
Voting-age Hispanic			
District 1	6.23%	6.23	0.00%
District 2	24.88%	25.34	0.46%
District 3	16.45%	15.49	-0.96%
Voting-age Indian			
District 1	1.75%	1.75	0.00%
District 2	3.63%	3.24	-0.39%
District 3	32.77%	34.75	1.98%

RAS geographic adjustments to TAT01 plan:

1. Gisela precinct from BOS3 to BOS2
2. Christmas, Hayden and Winkelman precincts from BOS2 to BOS3
3. Globe #3 precinct from BOS2 to BOS3
4. Non-reservation portion of Sierra Ancha precinct from BOS3 to BOS2
5. Reunite East Globe precinct in BOS2 (the part east of SR77 had been in BOS3)
6. Some Census Blocks on the east side of SR88 moved from Globe #1 precinct to Miami #1 precinct (from BOS3 to BOS2).