


**Changes Proposed to Gila County
Supervisor Districts by Tonto Apache Tribal Council
Plan TAT01**



Plan TAT01, Payson Area

Zane Grey

Pine-Strawberry

Payson #5

Payson #8

Payson #4

Payson #3







Payson #6

Star Valley


Payson #1

Payson #2

Young

	Voting District
	Current Districts
Proposed Districts	
	1
	2
	3
0 1 2 3	
	
Miles	

Plan TAT01, Globe Area



GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS

TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN

PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01

	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Districts</u>	Total, All
Number:					
Hispanic, of any race	1,467	6,226	1,895	9,588	
Non-Hispanic White	16,025	10,424	8,849	35,298	
Non-Hispanic Black	87	96	65	248	
Non-Hispanic American Indian	357	629	6,989	7,975	
Non-Hispanic Asian	114	127	80	321	
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	22	11	6	39	
Non-Hispanic other race	20	25	21	66	
Non-Hispanic two or more races	13	24	25	62	
Total Population	18,105	17,562	17,930		53,597
Percent:					
Hispanic, of any race	8.10%	35.45%	10.57%		17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	88.51%	59.36%	49.35%		65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.48%	0.55%	0.36%		0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.97%	3.58%	38.98%		14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.63%	0.72%	0.45%		0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.12%	0.06%	0.03%		0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.11%	0.14%	0.12%		0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.14%	0.14%		0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%
Ideal Population	17,866	17,866	17,866	Total	
Total Population	18,105	17,562	17,930	deviation:	
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	239	-304	64	543	
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	1.34%	-1.70%	0.36%	3.04%	

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona

Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580


GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS
VOTING-AGE POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	947	4,331	1,241	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	13,806	8,643	7,473	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	47	69	55	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	266	422	4,457	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	90	103	59	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	17	8	5	30
Non-Hispanic other race	9	18	17	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	11	16	16	43
Voting-Age Population	15,193	13,610	13,323	42,126
<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>				
Hispanic, of any race	6.23%	31.82%	9.31%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.87%	63.50%	56.09%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.31%	0.51%	0.41%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	1.75%	3.10%	33.45%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.59%	0.76%	0.44%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.11%	0.06%	0.04%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.06%	0.13%	0.13%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.12%	0.12%	0.10%
Voting-Age Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9550

Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Supervisory Districts

Plan submitted by: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01



GILA COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS

PLAN SUBMITTED BY: Tonto Apache Tribal Council, Plan TAT01

	Current	Proposed Plan	Change
Population, District 1	18,105	18,105	0
Population, District 2	17,151	17,562	411
Population, District 3	18,341	17,930	-411
Population deviation, District 1	239	239	
Population deviation, District 2	-715	-304	
Population deviation, District 3	475	64	
Percent deviation, District 1	1.34%	1.34%	
Percent deviation, District 2	-4.00%	-1.70%	
Percent deviation, District 3	2.66%	0.36%	
Total plan deviation, number	1,190	543	
Total plan deviation, percent	6.66%	3.04%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	6.23%	6.23%	0.00%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	24.88%	31.82%	6.94%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	16.45%	9.31%	-7.14%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.75%	1.75%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	3.63%	3.10%	-0.53%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	32.77%	33.45%	0.68%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.31%	0.31%	0.00%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.46%	0.51%	0.05%
Percent V-A Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.46%	0.41%	-0.05%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	9.13%	9.13%	0.00%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	30.16%	36.50%	6.34%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	50.28%	43.91%	-6.37%

Consultant's Review Comments:

This plan reduces the total population deviation by more than half, bringing the total deviation to just over 3%.

The 6 percentage point increase in voting-age minority proportion in District 2 bolsters that district's demonstrated ability to elect candidates favored by minority voters. Under this plan, the voting-age Native American proportion in District 3 also rises, but further analysis will be needed to determine whether District 3's minority populations will continue to be able to elect candidates of their choice. This plan has a lot of potential; a good starting point.



Tonto Apache Tribe

Tonto Apache Reservation #30
Payson, Arizona 85541
Telephone: (520) 474-5000
Fax: (520) 474-9125

We, the Tonto Apache Tribe, appreciate the opportunity to become involved in redistricting in Gila County, Arizona. Our proposal is based upon the following premises and existing situations:

1. Because our Tribe is currently placed into District #2, we do feel disenfranchised from the other two Apache Tribes within Gila County, the San Carlos and White Mountain, which are located in District #3.
2. During this redistricting process, the Gila County Elections Department has offered to take us out of Payson Precinct #2 and give us our own Precinct. After carefully considering this offer, we respectfully decline, believing that to do so at this time would further isolate and segregate us from our Payson community of interest.
3. Although the federal government may not distinguish between minorities in its over-all 'total minority' calculations, we Apaches respectfully disagree with this practice, especially as it relates to voting. We have our own customs and culture separate from Hispanics and additional minorities. We may or may not share similar values and ideologies and we may or may not vote in concert with them.
4. We believe the intent of the Voting Rights Act is to strengthen the ability of individual minorities to elect candidates of their choice, rather than risking reverse discrimination by combining different minorities and masking actual voting ability with artificial, inflated co-mingled numbers.
5. The proposal we are submitting:

- a. unites the representative Apache Tribes in Gila County – the Tonto, San Carlos and White Mountain Apache within District #3 to give us a true Apache Tribal vote and strengthen our actual ability to elect
 - b. keeps the Tonto Apaches united within our Payson community of interest by leaving us in Payson Precinct #2 and moving the entire Precinct into District #3
 - c. brings the Gisela Precinct with us from District #2 to District #3; Gisela being a major portion of our native homelands and our other primary community of interest
 - d. moves the predominantly Hispanic mining communities of Hayden, Winkleman and Christmas in the southern tip of Gila County, which are currently in District #3, and unites them with the Hispanic minority within the mining towns of Miami, Claypool and Globe in Precinct #2 – thereby truly strengthening their actual ability to elect
 - e. moves various Globe area Precincts from District #3 to District #2 to balance out total population without diluting either of the two predominate Gila County minorities – Apache (Native American) and Hispanic – and our actual ability to elect
6. We realize District #3 may no longer be considered a Majority-Minority District/Minority-Coalition District as explained by Gila County’s consultants in this process, however, by increasing the total Native American percentage in Precinct #3 from 32.8% to 33.2% we believe we still can - and will - elect the candidate of our choice. We also believe that by increasing the Hispanic vote in Precinct #2 from 24.9% to 31.7%, they, too, still can – and will – elect the candidate of their choice. And, although the lumped-together category called ‘total minority percentages’ in District #3 drops 6.6% and increases the same 6.6% in District #2, the individual minorities have been strengthened in both Districts.

7. Our overall plan has a one person, one vote discrepancy of 479, or less than 1%. If this is unacceptable, we have no objections to minor Precinct adjustments so long as they do not weaken the intent and the integrity of our submission.

Approved as submitted by Tonto Apache Tribal Council, July 12, 2011

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

TO ADDRESS A SPECIFIC ITEM LISTED ON THE AGENDA
EXCEPT A CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 8/15/2011

Number of Agenda Item #2 A

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name David Cook

Your address 10178 Ice House Canyon, Globe Az

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

The Board should send a request for comments to
local Tribal Gov. + City + towns before making a decision.
If decision is made today the "moody" plan is the
best.

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

TO ADDRESS A SPECIFIC ITEM LISTED ON THE AGENDA
EXCEPT A CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 8-15-2011

Number of Agenda Item # 2A

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name STENETT ITZA

Your address P.O. BOX 1143, Globe, AZ 85302

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

State Chairman Rumbler asked me to attend & remind Co. of Voting Acts requirement & tribal considerations.

GILA COUNTY
PROJECTED REDISTRICTING TIMELINE - August 15, 2011
Board of Supervisors and Community College

Redistricting Advisory Committee presents recommendations to Board of Supervisors	August 15, 2011
Board discusses mapping plan alternatives at Work Session	August 23, 2011
Board approves mapping plan alternatives to be published and presented at second round of public meetings	September 6, 2011
Maps advertised in newspapers, website, other media	September 6-16, 2011
Second round of public meetings to present mapping plan alternatives	September 6-16, 2011
Citizen comments due	September 16, 2011
Board discusses mapping plan alternatives at Work Session	September 20, 2011
Board approves final supervisorial and college district mapping plans	October 3, 2011
Plans submitted to DOJ	October 6, 2011
Plans approved by DOJ	December 6, 2011
Recorder makes voter registration changes required by Board approved maps	October/ November/December, 2011
State Redistricting Commission finalizes legislative and congressional districts	October 31, 2011
Staff and consultants revise mapping boundaries as required by State plan and present to Board for approval	November 1-10, 2011
Revised mapping plans submitted for Board Approval	November 15, 2011
Revised plans submitted to DOJ	November 16, 2011
Revised plans approved by DOJ	January 16, 2012
Recorder finalizes voter registration changes necessitated by State plan changes	December, 2011/January, 2012
Presidential Preference Election	January or February, 2012 Date TBD
Candidate packets ready	February 1, 2012
Figures for required number of signatures available (as soon as received from Recorder)	April 1, 2012
First day to file Candidate Nomination Petitions	April 30, 2012
Last day to file Candidate Nomination Petitions	May 30, 2012

CONDADO DE GILA
CALENDARIO PROYECTADO PARA LA REDISTRIBUCIÓN DE DISTRITOS
Junta de Supervisores y la Universidad Comunitaria

La Comisión Consultiva de Delimitación de Distritos presenta sus recomendaciones a la Junta de Supervisores	15 de Agosto de 2011
La Junta analiza los alternativos planes de mapeo en su Sesión de Trabajo	23 de Agosto de 2011
La Junta aprueba los alternativos planes de mapeo que serán publicados y presentados en la segunda ronda de reuniones públicas.	6 de Septiembre de 2011
Mapas anunciados en los periódicos, páginas web, otros medios de comunicación	September 6-16 de 2011
Segunda ronda de reuniones públicas para presentar los alternativos planes de mapeo	September 6-16 de 2011
Fecha límite para Comentarios del Público	16 de Septiembre de 2011
La Junta analiza los alternativos planes de mapeo en su Sesión de Trabajo	20 de Septiembre de 2011
La Junta aprueba los planes finales de mapeo de los distritos de supervisión y de la universidad comunitaria	3 de Octubre de 2011
Planes presentados al DOJ [Depto de Justicia]	6 de Octubre de 2011
Planes aprobados por del DOJ	6 de Diciembre de 2011
El Registrador efectúa los cambios al registro de votantes requeridos por los mapas aprobados por la Junta	Octubre/ Noviembre/Diciembre de 2011
La Comisión Estatal de Delimitación de Distritos finaliza los distritos legislativos y del Congreso	31 de Octubre de 2011
Personal y los consultores modifican los límites de distritos como es requerido por el plan estatal y presentan esto a la Junta para su aprobación	Noviembre 1-10 de 2011
Planes de Mapeo Modificados presentados a la Junta para Aprobación	15 de Noviembre de 2011
Planes modificados presentados al DOJ	16 de Noviembre de 2011
Planes modificados aprobados por el DOJ	16 de Enero de 2012
El Registrador finaliza los cambios al registro de votantes exigido por los cambios al plan Estatal	Diciembre de 2011/Enero de 2012
Elección de Preferencia Presidencial	Enero o febrero de 2012 - Fecha a determinar
Paquetes están disponibles para Candidatos	1 de Febrero de 2012
Las cifras del número de firmas requeridas están disponibles (tan pronto como se reciban del Registrador)	1 de Abril de 2012
Primer día para presentar Solicitudes de Nominación de Candidato	30 de Abril de 2012
Último día para presentar Solicitudes de Nominación de Candidato	30 de Mayo de 2012