



GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS DRAFT PLAN 3



- Census Block
- Precincts
- Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5



**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
DRAFT PLAN 3
PAYSON AREA DETAIL**



Payson #5

Payson #8

Payson #4









Star Valley

Payson #3

Payson #6


Payson #1

Payson #2

-  Census Block
-  Precincts
-  Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
-  1
-  2
-  3
-  4
-  5



GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT DRAFT PLAN 3 GLOBE AREA DETAIL



Claypool #2

Globe #1

Central Heights

East Globe

Claypool #1

Globe #8

Globe #2

Globe #3

Globe #4

Globe #5

Globe #6

Globe #7

Globe #11

Legend

- Census Block (thin black line)
- Precincts (thick blue line)
- Current Districts (thick red line)
- Proposed Districts**
- 1 (green)
- 2 (pink)
- 3 (yellow)
- 4 (light green)
- 5 (orange)

0 .33 .67 1
Miles

**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
DRAFT PLAN 3**

<u>Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>District 4</u>	<u>District 5</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	936	1,019	2,060	4,115	1,458	9,588
Non-Hispanic White	9,313	9,328	8,479	5,867	2,311	35,298
Non-Hispanic Black	61	39	33	74	41	248
Non-Hispanic American Indian	238	301	306	287	6,843	7,975
Non-Hispanic Asian	57	80	74	51	59	321
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	9	8	13	8	1	39
Non-Hispanic other race	16	17	11	16	6	66
Non-Hispanic two or more races	7	14	13	7	21	62
Total Population	10,637	10,806	10,989	10,425	10,740	53,597
<u>Percent:</u>						
Hispanic, of any race	8.80%	9.43%	18.75%	39.47%	13.58%	17.89%
Non-Hispanic White	87.55%	86.32%	77.16%	56.28%	21.52%	65.86%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.57%	0.36%	0.30%	0.71%	0.38%	0.46%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	2.24%	2.79%	2.78%	2.75%	63.72%	14.88%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.54%	0.74%	0.67%	0.49%	0.55%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.08%	0.07%	0.12%	0.08%	0.01%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.15%	0.16%	0.10%	0.15%	0.06%	0.12%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.13%	0.12%	0.07%	0.20%	0.12%
Total Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Ideal Population	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	10,719	Total 10,719
Total Population	10,637	10,806	10,989	10,425	10,740	deviation: 10,740
Numeric deviation from Ideal Value	-82	87	270	-294	21	564
Percent deviation from Ideal Value	-0.77%	0.81%	2.52%	-2.75%	0.19%	5.26%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580


**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
VOTING-AGE POPULATIONS BY RACE AND HISPANIC ORIGIN
DRAFT PLAN 3**

<u>Voting-Age Number:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>District 4</u>	<u>District 5</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	581	662	1,458	2,859	959	6,519
Non-Hispanic White	8,012	7,920	7,367	4,673	1,950	29,922
Non-Hispanic Black	40	21	20	50	40	171
Non-Hispanic American Indian	178	218	219	184	4,346	5,145
Non-Hispanic Asian	46	65	56	40	45	252
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	8	7	9	5	1	30
Non-Hispanic other race	7	13	9	10	5	44
Non-Hispanic two or more races	6	10	9	6	12	43
Voting-Age Population	8,878	8,916	9,147	7,827	7,358	42,126

<u>Voting-Age Percent:</u>	<u>District 1</u>	<u>District 2</u>	<u>District 3</u>	<u>District 4</u>	<u>District 5</u>	<u>Total, All Districts</u>
Hispanic, of any race	6.54%	7.42%	15.94%	36.53%	13.03%	15.48%
Non-Hispanic White	90.25%	88.83%	80.54%	59.70%	26.50%	71.03%
Non-Hispanic Black	0.45%	0.24%	0.22%	0.64%	0.54%	0.41%
Non-Hispanic American Indian	2.00%	2.45%	2.39%	2.35%	59.06%	12.21%
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.52%	0.73%	0.61%	0.51%	0.61%	0.60%
Non-Hispanic Hawaiian	0.09%	0.08%	0.10%	0.06%	0.01%	0.07%
Non-Hispanic other race	0.08%	0.15%	0.10%	0.13%	0.07%	0.10%
Non-Hispanic two or more races	0.07%	0.11%	0.10%	0.08%	0.16%	0.10%
Voting-Age Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Source: Census 2010 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary file, Arizona
 Tabulation: Research Advisory Services, Inc., Phoenix AZ (602) 230-9580

Race and Origin Composition of Current and Proposed Gila County Community College Districts DRAFT PLAN 3



Source: Census 2010
Redistricting Data (Public
Law 94-171) Summary File,
Arizona

**GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS
DRAFT PLAN 3**

	Current Districts	Proposed Plan	Change
Population, District 1	11,670	10,637	-1,033
Population, District 2	11,342	10,806	-536
Population, District 3	10,231	10,989	758
Population, District 4	8,972	10,425	1,453
Population, District 5	11,382	10,740	-642
Population deviation, District 1	951	-82	
Population deviation, District 2	623	87	
Population deviation, District 3	-488	270	
Population deviation, District 4	-1,747	-294	
Population deviation, District 5	663	21	
Percent deviation, District 1	8.87%	-0.77%	
Percent deviation, District 2	5.81%	0.81%	
Percent deviation, District 3	-4.56%	2.52%	
Percent deviation, District 4	-16.30%	-2.75%	
Percent deviation, District 5	6.18%	0.19%	
Total plan deviation, number	2,698	564	
Total plan deviation, percent	25.17%	5.26%	
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 1	5.89%	6.54%	0.65%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 2	7.24%	7.42%	0.18%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 3	19.20%	15.94%	-3.26%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 4	31.10%	36.53%	5.43%
Percent voting-age Hispanic, District 5	19.92%	13.03%	-6.89%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 1	1.93%	2.00%	0.07%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 2	2.37%	2.45%	0.08%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 3	2.69%	2.39%	-0.30%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 4	2.40%	2.35%	-0.05%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Native American, District 5	55.19%	59.06%	3.87%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 1	0.35%	0.45%	0.10%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 2	0.22%	0.24%	0.02%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 3	0.35%	0.22%	-0.13%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 4	0.73%	0.64%	-0.09%
Percent voting-age Non-Hispanic Black, District 5	0.48%	0.54%	0.06%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 1	8.97%	9.75%	0.78%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 2	10.91%	11.17%	0.26%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 3	23.12%	19.46%	-3.66%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 4	35.12%	40.30%	5.18%
Percent voting-age total minority residents, District 5	76.31%	73.50%	-2.81%

Changes to DRAFT PLAN 3:

1. Moved Carrizo precinct from CCD3 to CCD5
2. Moved Hayden, Winkelman and the non-reserv. part of Christmas precinct from CCD5 to CCD3
3. Moved Miami #1 precinct south of Hwy 60 from CCD3 to CCD4
4. Moved six blocks at the north end of Globe #7 from CCD4 to CCD3

Eastlick, Linda

From: Eastlick, Linda
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 2:40 PM
To: McDaniel, Don; Nelson, John; Chambers, Bryan; 'badelson1@comcast.net'
Cc: 'tsissons@researchadvisoryservices.com'; Fisher, Pam; Seymour, Gina; Grice, Sherry
Subject: Public Input Summary.xlsx
Attachments: Public Input Summary.xlsx

In order to ensure compliance with open meeting law, bcc copies have been sent to all members of the Board of Supervisors.

Attached for your information and review is a summary of comments received during the last two weeks either at the Round Two public meetings or via email/web site, fax, or telephone. We have transcribed the conversations from each of the meetings and have copies of public comment forms submitted should you wish to review the details.

Hopefully you will have an opportunity to review these comments prior to the Board meeting on Tuesday.

Both Bruce and Tony will be at Tuesday's meeting and I would anticipate that we could have lengthy discussions as to how to address the concerns expressed by the public. I also would anticipate a number of members of the public to be present at the meeting.

*Linda Eastlick, Director
Gila County Department of Elections
Telephone: 928.402.8708
Email: leastlick@co.gila.az.us*

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Jones	Miriam	8154 E Winchester Dr, Globe, AZ 85505	928.425.4532	miriamsjones@hotmail.com	Asked what it means when we say it will affect a certain amount of voters. Does it mean I won't be able to vote for my supervisor that I voted for last time ? Explained that could be the case.
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Pearce	Linda	P. O. Box 52, Claypool, AZ 85532	928.425.5333		Plan C has lowest deviation and least amount of change, but the Tonto Apache are not placed in District 3. Thinks Plan B is the worst because Claypool, Central Heights and Globe 8 are put in District 3. Seems like these changes don't belong. Seems like people who live on East side of Globe which borders on District 3 should be included in District 3. Thinks Plan A is the worst. Plan A has the highest deviation and she does not see why DOJ would accept that one over others that have less deviation. Pointed out District 1 still has the highest number of people and District 3 has fewer than it did before whereas District 2 had the fewest before. Plan C is the one that meets all the requirements if you could just get the Tonto Apaches in where they want to be.
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Shipley	Fernando	617 Andrea Circle, Miami, AZ 85539	928.425.7656	fernando.shipley.j4g@statefarm.com	Prefers Plan C. Least amount of change and least deviation. Feels it would keep us in line with current representation. "Plan C best meets the needs of Gila County."
09/06/11 Globe Meeting	P	Humphrey	Tim		928.425.8120 928.701.1455		Likes Plan C. Change is to give District 2 more vote. Plan C has the least amount of change and would be less work for the County in moving voters from one district to another.
09/08/11	T	Shipley	Fernando	617 Andrea Circle, Miami, AZ 85539	928.425.7656	fernando.shipley.j4g@statefarm.com	Concerned about the Tonto Apache map. Feels that Latinos will lose their voice in District 3 and that the removal of so many Latino residents would harm their ability to elect candidates of choice in District 3.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/07/11 Tonto Basin Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcable.com	Supports Draft Plan D and prefers college draft plan #1. Believes it strengthens the Latino vote in District 2 and the Native American vote in District 3 because it moves all the Native Americans into District 3 and all the Latinos into District 2. Believes the Latinos in Hayden, Winkelman, Christmas and Miami have more in common with the rest of Globe. Also believes the mining areas should be joined in Hayden, Winkelman and Globe, Miami. Believes they "have way more in common with those people than they do with the Native Americans. Referred to Gisela and the Tonto Apache stating they say it is part of their original homeland and there are a lot of Native Americans still there, so they should be joined because they have way more in common with the White Mountain and San Carlos Apaches in District 3. Native Americans and Latinos have different languages and cultures and should be identified as distinct minorities rather than being lumped together for numbers sake. Native Americans would not be split into two districts. Latinos would not be split into two districts. Should strengthen voting ability of both minorities. Improper to lump them together unless proven they consistently vote together. Also, District 2 and 3 would then become more competitive. Does not believe Plan A was tweaked right. Believes College Plan #3 is crazy and chopped up. College Plan #1 is way more contiguous and compact like the law requires.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/07/11 Tonto Basin Meeting	P	Rodriguez	Laido	843 S Highland Dr Globe, AZ 85541	928.473.3172		Concerned about Shirley Dye's presentation on plans. Feels speaker was confused or misled as to what the Committee looked at or how redistricting was looked at. Believes she wants to segregate various races into certain areas and that's not our job. Our job is to make sure that everybody has a vote to be able to vote the person that they want into office; not segregating one district into another district. We don't know if Hispanics are going to continue to live in this area. They can spread out throughout the County and start moving. As he heard Shirley Dye's speech he said it sounds like she's trying to segregate the whole County between the Apaches, Hispanics, and Anglos. Ms Dye responded that lumping them (the Hispanics and the Apaches) as one majority minority with their cultural differences and their language differences is counter to what the Civil Rights Act says because they are too distinct. Segregation never crossed her mind.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Ward	Curtis	110 N Mogollon Trail, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.3753		Wanted to know if the lawsuit filed by Attorney General Horn against the Justice Department is going to affect Gila County. Staff responded that the lawsuit will probably be years in resolution and if the law is changed, it will be at the end of that lawsuit. It will not affect anything that anyone in the State of Arizona is doing at the present time.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Morgan	Peter	P. O. Box 1947, Payson, AZ 85547	928.978.0617		<p>Wanted to know if all of this effort by elected officials and Gila County property tax payers from the north 1/2 of Gila County will finally bring forth another County supervisor, giving the north equal voting power. Seems to him that we need another supervisor representing the north so could have two in the north and two in the south. Staff explained State Statute requires either three or five supervisors and that there is currently no provision for a county the size of Gila County to go to five supervisors. Then wanted to know how any of these maps affect a more fair vote with three supervisors than we have seen in the past. Staff responded we think what you're asking is how does someone else become supervisor form the north, and that's totally dependent upon the citizens from the north and who runs for office. Then he wanted to know if we have one vote from the north and two votes from the south how do we make that fair. Staff responded that question couldn't be answered because there isn't an answer. People who run for office are to represent all the people in their district and in the county. If you have a particular issue you should talk to your board member. Further stated his issue is honesty and integrity and he doesn't see it.</p>

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dalby	Bob	1104 S Milk Ranch Point, Payson, AZ 85541	928.472.7979	bobdalbyre@msn.com	Wanted to know how the supervisors will decide which map to forward to DOJ and do public comments make difference. Does the Board currently have a preference. Asked if the supervisors could send more than one plan to DOJ. Wanted to know if comments will be submitted to DOJ. Elections staff responded Only one plan can be submitted and comments will be submitted to DOJ. There is no current preference as to which plan to adopt. As far as how they make their decision, cannot speak for any member of the Board in any way specifically. They will evaluate the mapping alternatives. They will evaluate ideas that come from the public. They will evaluate what the consultants say. They will evaluate what the numbers tell them since we do have to comply with the Voting Rights Act.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Harrison	Christine	612 N Grapevine Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1983	cwailand@npgcable.com	Believes Plan D gets a little closer to addressing some of the demographic shifts that have been going on in the County yet she understands there are issues with some of the statistical analyses that have to do with retrogression and wanted to know what could be done to work through the retrogression issues. She believes it is a very good plan and she would like to see it considered for its value and not just discounted because of the statistical problems. Elections staff explained retrogression for the audience and the fact that we are prohibited from reducing the minority voting strength in District 3 in any significant way. Discussed the fact that there are ways it could be resolved such as Plan A. The supervisors will be considering the Tonto Apache input as well as input from the City of Globe, the Mayor of Globe, who is very concerned about dilution of minority voting strength, and other public comment. They will evaluate a lot of different factors and perhaps some other alternatives for the way things could be done. Likes College Plan 1. Is somewhat concerned about the population deviation being too high.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcableone.com	<p>Prefers the Tonto Tribe Plan D and Community College District #1. Wanted to know if Plan A could be tweaked at the BOS work session on September 20. Mentioned she had made the same presentation at the Tonto Basin public meeting and got shot down, but wanted to run it by this audience. Feels Plan D makes the Democrats and Republicans more even in District 3 so it could be a swing district whereas now it is a Democratic district. If it is changed they would have a chance of voting for a candidate that would more readily represent both the north and south. Just because they used a mixed minority 10 years ago without the same communities of interest, the same culture, and the same language, that is really not right and does that mean that you can't make it right by letting these people (the Hispanics) be with the people that they usually vote with and letting the Native Americans be with who they vote with.</p>

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Feezor	Mac	828 W Longhorn Road, Payson, AZ 855401	928.472.2449	mac-feezor@msn.com	Wanted to know if we had found any case law regarding minorities "self-selecting" to reduce the total minority concentration and whether we had yet heard a definite answer from Hayden/Winkelman area. Staff responded that no, we had not seen any case law and that the meeting in Hayden/Winkelman was scheduled for the next Monday. We do have the Federal Register which provides us the laws the DOJ will use to review our plans. Pointed out that in fact there are some revisions that were published in the Spring of this year that are very specific with regard to reduction of minority voting strength. Mr. Feezor still believes that by strengthening each minority in it's own district that should be OK as long as the minorities are agreeable. Stated that the County had received no input from the other Tribal Councils as to whether they were in agreement with the Tonto Apache or not. Stated that one of the Tribal attorneys made a statement that he did not understand why we would split the Hispanic and Native American populations.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Miller	Kathleen	818 N Blue Spruce Circle, Payson, AZ 85541	928.951.3717	kdm@pobox.com	Wanted to know if when things are sent to the DOJ are comments allowed in the process of sending or do they just get the maps and the statistics. Elections staff noted that all comments that are submitted will go in as a part of the submission

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Dye	Shirley	1107 S Deer Born Dr, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.1131	shirleyujest@npgcableone.com	Wanted to know if "they" could send a different map to DOJ with a public write-up about it based on the issues they are concerned about. Elections staff responded that the board of supervisors has the responsibility and the authority to make the submission and any public comments made will be included with their submission. Only one submission can be made. Ms. Dye then wanted to know if we could tweak a plan for the Board to review at their meeting on 9/20. Staff responded that the Board will be taking comments but if citizens wanted to discuss tweaking with individual board members they could call them.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Gartner	Nancy	706 S Country Club Lane, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.4627	gng_gartner@npgcable.com	Wanted to know why we do redistricting if we are not going to reflect changes in population to represent the true current population. Gerrymandering seems to misrepresent true population. Elections staff stated that we actually are doing it to reflect changes in population. Pointed out the statistics in one of the maps which show how the population is being divided and that what the northern population is depends on what you call north. Ms. Gartner questioned why we were not equalizing minorities. Staff explained that the other requirement was not equalization of minorities, but rather the fact that we cannot reduce the current population of minorities within a current district and that those numbers are reflected in the voting age statistics.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Stephenson	Larry	840 S Moodlight Dr, Star Valley, AZ 85541	602.690.0057	lstephe739@aol.com	Thinks all three of the community college district maps are viable alternatives and he would feel comfortable with any of the three.
09/08/11 Payson Meeting	P	Salwitz	Georgia	2003 Fairway Avenue, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.5079	georgiasalwitz@yahoo.com	Seems that districting representation should be based on total population divided by the number of representatives.

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/12/2011 9:55 am	T	Dalby	Wanda	1104 S Milk Ranch Point, Payson, AZ 85541	928.472.7979	bobdalbyre@msn.com	Wants the DOJ to know that she would like them to OK the Tonto Apache plan for redistricting (Plan D) as submitted.
09/12/2011 10:15 am	T	Detweiller	Cora	904 E Phoenix St, Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.8081	None	Approves of the Tonto Apache submission (Plan D)
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Badillo	Monica	P. O. Box 233, Hayden, AZ 85135	520.461.4233	mbadillo3@yahoo.com	Not in favor of Plan D. Is most in favor of Plan C.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Kerlock	Sylvia	P. O. Box 386, Winkelman, AZ	520.356.7854	skerlock@townofwinkelman.com	In favor of Draft Plan C and will recommend that to the Town Council.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Ruiz	Gloria	P. O. Box 1024, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6103		In favor of Plan C.
09/12/11 Hayden/ Winkelman Meeting	P	Cooper	Ronda				Expressed her desire to remain in District 3. In favor of Plan C and opposed to Plan D
09/14/2011 11:25 am	T	Sneezy	Ricardo	Gilson Wash, San Carlos, AZ 85505			Wanted to know status of maps. Staff advised him about the two upcoming meetings. Wanted to know which map would most likely be adopted. Staff told him no decision has yet been made. He understands San Carlos is to be moved out of District 3 away from Ice House Canyon. Explained that none of the plans move San Carlos away from District 3, and that some plans, including the Tonto Apache plan would move precincts in the Globe area around.
09/14/2011	E	Brown	James (Bing) and Carol G.	575 W Beaver Flat Rd, Payson, AZ 85541	928.468.9269	bingbrown@q.com	Supports Draft Plan D. Believe plan is most fair for all voter groups including Native Americans and that it makes the fewest changes in current boundaries of districts thus reducing confusion

**GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
PUBLIC INPUT SUMMARY**

Date/Time	Method*	Last	First	Address	Telephone	Email	Comments
09/15/2011 7:49 am	T and E	Loeffler	Tom	Payson, AZ 85541	928.474.3342	atmloeffler@msn.com	Not in favor of College Plan #3: Takes away from north and transfers more power to mid section of County. (1) "We should be getting more representation to reflect the greater population, not less." (2) Believes representatives should live among people they represent. In this plan District 1 will represent people in the mid to far south of the County (Tonto Basin and upper portion of Roosevelt). Also believes College Plan #3 did not look like this in the top portion of the County when the Committee presented it to the Board. (Mr. Loeffler was sent an email with the progress of Draft Plan #3 which shows that in fact the map has not substantially changed in the top half of the County from when it was first drawn by Tom Moody. Only major change was in the Hayden/Winkelman/Christmas area)
09/15/2011	F	Aguirre, Sr.	Manuel B.	P. O. Box 554, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6417		Agrees with Plan C. Says this will better benefit the Town. Hopefully a representative from Globe will be readily available to assist the Town.
09/15/2011	F	Chilsen	Elain M.	P. O. Box 23, Winkelman, AZ 85192	520.356.6318	wellingtonstudio@msn.com	
09/15/2011	F	Bracamonte	Louis C.	P. O. Box 526, Hayden, AZ 85135	520.356.7624		Supports Plan C. It leaves us where we are: Draft Plan C would be acceptable.

Eastlick, Linda

From: Bruce Adelson [badelson1@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2011 10:49 AM
To: Eastlick, Linda
Subject: Mapping Concerns

Hi Linda:

Mayor Shipley called me. He said that he went to Tuesday's meeting to express concerns and preferences about the BOS maps.

He told me that he is concerned about the Tonto Apache map. He said that with this map, he is concerned that Latinos will lose their voice in District 3 and that the removal of so many Latino residents would harm their ability to elect candidates of choice in District 3.

This is very important information for Gila County and for the BOS. It is also part of the redistricting record for DOJ.

Sincerely,

Bruce L. Adelson, Esq.
Federal Compliance Consulting, LLC
11808 Becket Street
Potomac, Maryland 20854
301-762-5272
240-536-9192 fax

This email and the material contained herein may be provided for educational purposes only and may not constitute legal advice. This email and the material contained herein do not create an attorney-client relationship. An attorney-client relationship can only be established by contract agreed to by both parties. This communication may consist of material that is confidential and/or protected by the attorney-client privilege. This communication, its contents, and attachments are intended only for the named recipient(s). If you are not the named recipient(s) and/or are not the named recipient(s)' agent or employee, please be advised that disclosure, distribution, and copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this communication.

GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
INTERNET PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Thank you for participating in Gila County's redistricting process

The public is encouraged to let us know their thoughts about proposed mapping of the Supervisor or College Districts or about the redistricting process in general

In order for the record to properly reflect the speaker's name, address and subject matter, please complete all sections of the form below. You may submit the form on-line by clicking the "SUBMIT" button at the bottom or you may print it out and send it to the Gila County Elections Department, 5515 S Apache Ave., Ste. 900, Globe, AZ 85501. *If you have problems with the submit button, please contact the Gila County Elections Department at (928) 402-8750.*

Date 9/14/11 Your Name James (Bing) & Carol G. Brown
Your mailing address 575 W. Beaver Flat Rd., Payson, AZ 85541
Your e-mail address bingbrown@q.com
Your phone number 928-468-9269

Your comments about the redistricting plan alternatives:

We support Draft Plan D for implementation. We believe
this plan is the most fair for for all voter groups, including
Native Americans. Furthermore, Draft Plan D makes
relatively few changes in the current boundaries of the
districts, reducing confusion caused by the changes.

Eastlick, Linda

From: Martin, Tommie
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 8:01 PM
To: Eastlick, Linda
Subject: Fw: Community College Redistricting

From: THOMAS LOEFFLER [<mailto:atmloeffler@msn.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2011 11:10 AM
To: Martin, Tommie
Subject: Community College Redistricting

Supervisor Martin,

I do not favor Plan # 3 in the redistricting of the community college districts for two reasons.

1. As presented, plan 3 takes away from the north and transfers more power to the mid section of the county. We should be getting more representation to reflect the greater population, not less.
2. I believe representatives should live among the people they represent. I currently do that, but if plan 3 is chosen, district 1 will represent people in the mid to far south of the county. Not the best situation when plan 1 or 2 would better accomplish the needed northern representation.

Thanks

Tom Loeffler

Tom and Mary Loeffler
atmloeffler@msn.com
Payson, Arizona

GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING
INTERNET PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Thank you for participating in Gila County's redistricting process

The public is encouraged to let us know their thoughts about proposed mapping of the Supervisor or College Districts or about the redistricting process in general

In order for the record to properly reflect the speaker's name, address and subject matter, please complete all sections of the form below. You may submit the form on-line by clicking the "SUBMIT" button at the bottom or you may print it out and send it to the Gila County Elections Department, 5515 S Apache Ave., Ste. 900, Globe, AZ 85501. *If you have problems with the submit button, please contact the Gila County Elections Department at (928) 402-8750.*

Date Sept. 14, 2011 Your Name Thomas Loeffler
Your mailing address 404 N. Rim Ranch Point
Your e-mail address atmloeffler@msn.com
Your phone number 928-474-3342

Your comments about the redistricting plan alternatives:

My comments are regarding the community college plan # 3.

A representative should be represent people who he lives close to and shares their concerns.

Currently, district 1 covers a large part of the northern part of the county.

Plan 3 takes away a portion of the Payson area and has district 1

representing people in the mid section of the county where I have very little

contact with those people. Currently, the northern part of the county

does not have fair representation and plan 3 just makes it more

unfair. Plan # 1 or # 2 does a better job of representing the

population numbers and the geographic locations of same.

Eastlick, Linda

From: Eastlick, Linda
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2011 8:54 AM
To: atmloeffler@msn.com
Cc: Martin, Tommie; Fisher, Pam
Subject: College District Draft Plan 3
Attachments: doc00085920110915083641.pdf

Thank you for your email comments. I did in fact receive them from Supervisor Martin this morning.

This morning you stated your belief that there had been significant changes to College Plan 3 and that it was nothing like what the Committee submitted to the Board. You suggested that in the map submitted by the Committee District 3 did not come up around the top of the map over into Pine Strawberry and that District 1 did not come down and pick-up the Tonto Basin and top of Roosevelt areas.

I have attached three maps which follow the progress of this particular mapping alternative.

The first map, "Changes Proposed to Gila County College Districts by Tom Moody", was the original map submitted.

The second map, "Proposed Changes to Gila County CC Districts by Tom Moody, Plan tjm06 RAS Adjustment" was the map submitted by the Redistricting Committee to the Board of Supervisors.

The third map, "Gila County Community College Districts Draft Plan 3 is the map under consideration by the Board of Supervisors at the present time.

As you can see from the first map, to the map submitted to the Board by the Redistricting Committee, to the map currently under consideration, District 3 does in fact come up around the top of the map over into Pine Strawberry and District 1 does in fact come down and pick-up the Tonto Basin and the top of the Roosevelt area.

The only major changes were in the Hayden/Winkelman area at the far southern end of the County.


I hope this information answers your questions and concerns.

Linda Eastlick, Director
Gila County Department of Elections
Telephone: 928.402.8708
Email: leastlick@co.gila.az.us


-----Original Message-----
From: ElectionCopier@co.gila.az.us [<mailto:ElectionCopier@co.gila.az.us>]
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2011 8:37 AM
To: Eastlick, Linda
Subject:

TASKalfa 5500i
[00:c0:ee:86:65:d7]


Changes Proposed to Gila County College Districts by Tom Moody, Plan tjm06











**Proposed Changes to Gila County
CC Districts by Tom Moody, Plan tjm06
RAS Adjustment**



GILA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICTS DRAFT PLAN 3



-  Census Block
-  Precincts
-  Current Districts
- Proposed Districts**
-  1
-  2
-  3
-  4
-  5



GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING PUBLIC INPUT MEETING

PUBLIC COMMENT/QUESTION FORM

Thank you for attending today's Redistricting Public Input Meeting

We encourage the public to ask questions and/or let us know their thoughts about mapping of the Supervisor or College Districts or about the Redistricting process in general

In order for the record to properly reflect the speaker's name, address and subject matter, citizens who wish to ask questions or make comments shall complete the information below and submit this form to David Rogers at the meeting

Speakers will be limited to three (3) minutes each and County Staff reserves the right to limit the length of the Public Comment period.

No official action will be taken at this Public Meeting by any members of the Gila County Board of Supervisors or Elections Staff who may be present at the meeting; however, they may answer questions or respond to criticism.

Date 9-12-11 Your Name LOUIS C. BRACAMONTE
Your mailing address P.O. BOX 526 HAYDEN, AZ 85135
Your e-mail address _____
Your phone number 520-356-7624

Questions, comments, or brief description of the subject to be addressed:

DRAFT PLAN C WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE

GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING PUBLIC INPUT MEETING

PUBLIC COMMENT/QUESTION FORM

Thank you for attending today's Redistricting Public Input Meeting

We encourage the public to ask questions and/or let us know their thoughts about mapping of the Supervisor or College Districts or about the Redistricting process in general

In order for the record to properly reflect the speaker's name, address and subject matter, citizens who wish to ask questions or make comments shall complete the information below and submit this form to David Rogers at the meeting

Speakers will be limited to three (3) minutes each and County Staff reserves the right to limit the length of the Public Comment period.

No official action will be taken at this Public Meeting by any members of the Gila County Board of Supervisors or Elections Staff who may be present at the meeting; however, they may answer questions or respond to criticism.

Date 9-15-2011 Your Name Manuel B Aguirre, Jr
Your mailing address P.O. Box 554 Winkelman, AZ 85192
Your e-mail address _____
Your phone number 520-356-6417

Questions, comments, or brief description of the subject to be addressed:

I agree with Plan C. To me this will better
benefit the town. Hopefully a representative
from Globe will be readily available to assist
the town.

Thank You.
Manuel B Aguirre

GILA COUNTY REDISTRICTING PUBLIC INPUT MEETING

PUBLIC COMMENT/QUESTION FORM

Thank you for attending today's Redistricting Public Input Meeting

We encourage the public to ask questions and/or let us know their thoughts about mapping of the Supervisor or College Districts or about the Redistricting process in general

In order for the record to properly reflect the speaker's name, address and subject matter, citizens who wish to ask questions or make comments shall complete the information below and submit this form to David Rogers at the meeting

Speakers will be limited to three (3) minutes each and County Staff reserves the right to limit the length of the Public Comment period.

No official action will be taken at this Public Meeting by any members of the Gila County Board of Supervisors or Elections Staff who may be present at the meeting; however, they may answer questions or respond to criticism.

Date 9/12/11 Your Name Elaine M. Chilson
Your mailing address P.O. Box 23 Wenden, AZ 85192
Your e-mail address WellingtonStudio@msn.com
Your phone number 520-356-10318

Questions, comments, or brief description of the subject to be addressed:

I support plan "C" It leaves us
where we are -

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9-20-11

Number of Agenda Item 3H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name Shirley Dye

Your address 1107 S. Deer Born Dr., Payson.

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

Favor the newest adjustments to the
Tonto Tribe (map Plan D) that are labeled
MAPS BB & CC - they meet the desires
of the Tonto Tribe & the communities
of Hayden, Winkelman & Christmas

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9-28-11

Number of Agenda Item 3A

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name Mac Feezor

Your address 828 W. Langhorn Rd, Payson AZ

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 01-20-11

Number of Agenda Item 3-H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name San Chilton

Your address 191 S. Valley View Rd (Cisela)
Payson AZ 85541

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

Having Not been active in this process and
a very concerned citizen having ran for
office and setting on Gila Co P & Z for past
years. I am in favor of Cisela Precint
Being put in District #3. After talking
to Rancher's and Home Owners ASS. Presidents
and other concerned citizens in Cisela
have stated they favor Cisela moving into distric
#3

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9/20/11

Number of Agenda Item 3H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name Don Ascoli

Your address 269 S. CONIFER DR Payson, AZ 85541

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9/20/11

Number of Agenda Item 3 H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name VIVIAN L. Burdette

Your address 12 Tonto Apache Res. Payson, AZ

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

TO ADDRESS A SPECIFIC ITEM LISTED ON THE AGENDA
EXCEPT A CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9/20/2011

Number of Agenda Item 3H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name David L. Cook

Your address 10178 Ice House, Globe, AZ 85501

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

GILA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Public Participation Form

TO ADDRESS A SPECIFIC ITEM LISTED ON THE AGENDA
EXCEPT A CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Notice: The Board is required to call for public comment on any agenda item that is listed as a hearing. Hearings typically include those items such as planning and zoning items on the regular agenda; hearings on establishments, exchanges or abandonments; hearings related to new ordinances or changes to existing ordinances; hearings on fee schedules; and hearings related to the establishment of special or improvement districts.

The Board is not required by law to call for public comment on every agenda item but may choose to do so, particularly if an item is of great interest or concern to local citizens and if time permits. Completing this form for items other than those listed as hearings does not guarantee you the opportunity to speak at the meeting.

Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please give your completed form to the Chief Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Meeting Date 9-20-2011

Number of Agenda Item H

In favor of the issue Opposed to issue I wish to speak

Your name Edd Dawson

Your address 4402 S. San Carlos Drive

You may wish to submit written comments in the event you do not have the opportunity to speak at the meeting. Written comments will be circulated to the members of the Board for their review.

David Cook
10178 Ice House Canyon Road
Globe, Arizona 85501

September 19, 2011

Gila County Elections Director
Linda Eastlick
1400 East Ash Street
Globe, Arizona 85501

Mrs. Eastlick,

I have taken the time to look at and study the proposed maps/plans from your committee and consultants. I do not believe that they are in the best interest of the citizens of Gila County and would like to state my objection to them. I believe that the proposed changes are nothing short of gerrymandering and do not think that they would stand the test of a Department of Justice review based on what is approved today and what is being proposed.

I would like to submit the attached plan titled **Fair and Balanced**. The maps and information are based on past and current registered voters by party and precinct. Something that I believe has not been looked at to date.

Based on the *Tonto National Forest Map 2004* the following *precinct changes* are recommended;

Globe precinct #7: Change precinct lines. This change **would not affect any voters and residents**. The boundary line would still be Forest Service (FS) Road 580 on the West, on the East It would still remain FS Road 112 and 200. The North boundary would still remain the same as well. The proposed change would occur in the South boundary to FS roads 651 and 196. These are the roads to Sulfide Del Rey, Signal Peak Lookout, Pinal Peak radio towers and the Pinal Mountain cabins.

Christmas: Boundary would move to the North but **still there would be no precinct change for voters**, but there would be a Supervisorial District change to number 2 from Supervisor District 3. The West, South and East boundary lines would remain the same with the North boundary line would follow the Southern boundary lines of Globe Precinct lines #7, #11 and Miami #1 (FS Roads 639, 651 and 196).

Supervisor District Recommended Changes

District 1: Would lose Zane Grey to District 3 (Precincts: Payson 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, Pine/Strawberry and Whispering Pines). **Total registered voters 11,808.**

District 2: Would gain Christmas, Hayden, and Winkelman Precincts from District 3 (Precincts: Globe 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, Central Heights, Christmas, Claypool 2, 3, Gisela, Hayden, Miami 1, 3, Payson 2, Roosevelt, Tonto Basin and Winkelman). **Total registered voters 11,462.**

District 3: Would lose Christmas, Hayden and Winkelman. Would gain Zane Grey (Precincts: Globe 1, 7, 11, Canyon Day, Carrizo, Claypool 1, East Globe, San Carlos, Sierra Ancha, Star Valley, Young and Zane Grey). **Total registered voters 10,331.**

I believe that this proposed plan is the best and has the least affect on registered voters in Gila County and remains within approved guidelines of racial and ethnic voting blocks. In addition the cost for having the county make changes would be far less than any of the other plans being submitted. This will reduce the cost to the Gila County taxpayer and have the least amount of impact on Gila County citizens. The total number of voters being changed with this plan would be a maximum of 1,300. The other plans could change 1,450 – 6,400 registered voters.


Thank you for your time and consideration of this proposal.


Sincerely,



David Cook


New Precinct Boundaries





New District lines

- Supervisor #1
- Supervisor #2
- Supervisor #3




Current

- Supervisor #1
- Supervisor #2
- Supervisor #3

New

Supervisor Districts



New SUPERVISOR Districts




Gila County Proposed "Fair and Balanced"


Supervisor District Party Breakdown

Political Subdivision	Democratic	Republican	Party Not Designated	Independent	Libertarian	Other	Reform	Green	Grand Total
Supervisor Dist 1	2701	5789	1540	1671	78	16	1	12	11808
Supervisor Dist 2	5311	3420	1612	1037	52	17	2	11	11462
Supervisor Dist 3	4810	2884	1716	858	41	12	2	8	10331
Grand Total	12822	12093	4868	3566	171	45	5	31	33601

Current Registered Voters



Proposed Registered Voters



VR Statistics Count Report

Party Count Report For Districts
 A Breakdown Of Party Within Districts
 Includes Registrants With Status Of: ACTIVE, INACTIVE

Political Subdivision	Party	DEMOCRATIC	REPUBLICAN	PARTY NOT DESIGNATED	INDEPENDENT	LIBERTARIAN	OTHER	REFORM	GREEN	Other	Grand Total
SUP											
SUPERVISOR DIST 1 (BS1)	2783	6039	1617	1755	81	17	14	1			12307
SUPERVISOR DIST 2 (BS2)	4675	3350	1516	1010	50	16	10	2			10630
SUPERVISOR DIST 3 (BS3)	5363	2703	1735	803	40	10	7	2			10664
Grand Total	12821	12092	4868	3568	171	43	31	5			33601

Current Supervisor #'s